B

S

O M

 \mathbf{C}

TEXAS COMPTROLLER of PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

P.O. Box 13528 • Austin, TX 78711-3528

()

November 1, 2013

John Baker Superintendent Seymour Independent School District 409 W. Idaho St. Seymour, Texas 76380

Dear Superintendent Baker:

On August 26, 2013, the Comptroller received the completed application (Application # 328) for a limitation on appraised value under the provisions of Tax Code Chapter 313¹. This application was originally submitted in July 2013 to the Seymour Independent School District (the school district) by Green Pastures Wind I, LLC (the applicant). This letter presents the results of the Comptroller's review of the application:

- 1) under Section 313.025(h) to determine if the property meets the requirements of Section 313.024 for eligibility for a limitation on appraised value under Chapter 313, Subchapter C; and
- 2) under Section 313.025(d), to make a recommendation to the governing body of the school district as to whether the application should be approved or disapproved using the criteria set out by Section 313.026.

The school district is currently classified as a rural school district in Category 3 according to the provisions of Chapter 313. Therefore, the applicant properly applied under the provisions of Subchapter C, applicable to rural school districts. The amount of proposed qualified investment (\$390 million) is consistent with the proposed appraised value limitation sought (\$10 million). The property value limitation amount noted in this recommendation is based on property values available at the time of application and may change prior to the execution of any final agreement.

The applicant is an active franchise taxpayer in good standing, as required by Section 313.024(a), and is proposing the construction of a wind power electric generation facility in Baylor and Knox Counties, an eligible property use under Section 313.024(b). The Comptroller has determined that the property, as described by the application, meets the requirements of Section 313.024 for eligibility for a limitation on appraised value under Chapter 313, Subchapter C.

After reviewing the application using the criteria listed in Section 313.026, and the information provided by the applicant, the Comptroller's recommendation is that this application under Tax Code Chapter 313 be approved.

Our review of the application assumes the truth and accuracy of the statements in the application and that, if the application is approved, the applicant would perform according to the provisions of the agreement reached with the school district. Our recommendation does not address whether the applicant has complied with all Chapter 313 requirements; the school district is responsible for verifying that all requirements of the statute have been fulfilled. Additionally, Section 313.025 requires the school district to only approve an application if the school district finds that the information in the application is true and

¹ All statutory references are to the Texas Tax Code, unless otherwise noted.

correct, finds that the applicant is eligible for a limitation and determines that granting the application is in the best interest of the school district and this state. When approving a job waiver requested under Section 313.025(f-1), the school district must also find that the statutory jobs creation requirement exceeds the industry standard for the number of employees reasonably necessary for the operation of the facility. As stated above, the Comptroller's recommendation is prepared by generally reviewing the application and supporting documentation in light of the Section 313.026 criteria and a cursory review of the industry standard evidence necessary to support the waiver of the required number of jobs.

Note that any new building or other improvement existing as of the application review start date of August 26, 2013, or any tangible personal property placed in service prior to that date may not become "Qualified Property" as defined by 313.021(2).

The Comptroller's recommendation is based on the application submitted by the school district and reviewed by the Comptroller. The recommendation may not be used by the school district to support its approval of the property value limitation agreement if the application is modified, the information presented in the application changes, or the limitation agreement does not conform to the application. Additionally, this recommendation is contingent on future compliance with the Chapter 313 and the Texas Administrative Code, with particular reference to the following requirements related to the execution of the agreement:

- The applicant must provide the Comptroller a copy of the proposed limitation on appraised value agreement no later than ten (10) days prior to the meeting scheduled by the school district to consider approving the agreement, so that the Comptroller may review it for compliance with the statutes and the Comptroller's rules as well as consistency with the application;
- 2) The Comptroller must confirm that it received and reviewed the draft agreement and affirm the recommendation made in this letter;
- 3) The school district must approve and execute a limitation agreement that has been reviewed by the Comptroller within a year from the date of this letter; and
- 4) The school district must provide a copy of the signed limitation agreement to the Comptroller within seven (7) days after execution, as required by Section 313.025...

Should you have any questions, please contact Robert Wood, director of Economic Development & Analysis Division, by email at robert.wood@cpa.state.tx.us or by phone at 1-800-531-5441, ext. 3-3973, or direct in Austin at 512-463-3973.

Sincerely,

Martin A. Hubert Deputy Comptroller

Enclosure

cd: Robert Wood

Economic Impact for Chapter 313 Project

Applicant	Green Pastures Wind I, LLC
Tax Code, 313.024 Eligibility Category	Renewable Energy Electric Generation - Wind
School District	Seymour ISD
2011-2012 Enrollment in School District	570
County	Baylor, Knox
Total Investment in District	\$390,000,000
Qualified Investment	\$390,000,000
Limitation Amount	\$10,000,000
Number of total jobs committed to by applicant	8*
Number of qualifying jobs committed to by applicant	8
Average Weekly Wage of Qualifying Jobs committed to by applicant	\$793
Minimum Weekly Wage Required Tax Code, 313.051(b)	\$793
Minimum Annual Wage committed to by applicant for qualified jobs	\$41,259
Investment per Qualifying Job	\$48,750,000
Estimated 15 year M&O levy without any limit or credit:	\$42,579,241
Estimated gross 15 year M&O tax benefit	\$29,092,771
Estimated 15 year M&O tax benefit (after deductions for estimated school district revenue protectionbut not including any deduction for supplemental payments or extraordinary educational expenses):	\$28,589,058
Tax Credits (estimated - part of total tax benefit in the two lines above - appropriated through Foundation School Program)	\$3,888,560
Net M&O Tax (15 years) After Limitation, Credits and Revenue Protection:	\$13,990,183
Tax benefit as a percentage of what applicant would have paid without value limitation agreement (percentage exempted)	67.1%
Percentage of tax benefit due to the limitation	86.6%
Percentage of tax benefit due to the credit. * Applicant is requesting district to waive requirement to create minimum number of qualifying jobs pursuant to Tax Code, 313.025 (f-1).	13.4%

This presents the Comptroller's economic impact evaluation of Green Pastures Wind I, LLC (the project) applying to Seymour Independent School District (the district), as required by Tax Code, 313.026. This evaluation is based on information provided by the applicant and examines the following criteria:

- (1) the recommendations of the comptroller;
- (2) the name of the school district;
- (3) the name of the applicant;
- (4) the general nature of the applicant's investment;
- (5) the relationship between the applicant's industry and the types of qualifying jobs to be created by the applicant to the long-term economic growth plans of this state as described in the strategic plan for economic development submitted by the Texas Strategic Economic Development Planning Commission under Section 481.033, Government Code, as that section existed before February 1, 1999;
- (6) the relative level of the applicant's investment per qualifying job to be created by the applicant;
- (7) the number of qualifying jobs to be created by the applicant;
- (8) the wages, salaries, and benefits to be offered by the applicant to qualifying job holders;
- (9) the ability of the applicant to locate or relocate in another state or another region of this state;
- (10) the impact the project will have on this state and individual local units of government, including:
 - (A) tax and other revenue gains, direct or indirect, that would be realized during the qualifying time period, the limitation period, and a period of time after the limitation period considered appropriate by the comptroller; and
 - (B) economic effects of the project, including the impact on jobs and income, during the qualifying time period, the limitation period, and a period of time after the limitation period considered appropriate by the comptroller;
- (11) the economic condition of the region of the state at the time the person's application is being considered;
- (12) the number of new facilities built or expanded in the region during the two years preceding the date of the application that were eligible to apply for a limitation on appraised value under this subchapter;
- (13) the effect of the applicant's proposal, if approved, on the number or size of the school district's instructional facilities, as defined by Section 46.001, Education Code;
- (14) the projected market value of the qualified property of the applicant as determined by the comptroller;
- (15) the proposed limitation on appraised value for the qualified property of the applicant;
- (16) the projected dollar amount of the taxes that would be imposed on the qualified property, for each year of the agreement, if the property does not receive a limitation on appraised value with assumptions of the projected appreciation or depreciation of the investment and projected tax rates clearly stated;
- (17) the projected dollar amount of the taxes that would be imposed on the qualified property, for each tax year of the agreement, if the property receives a limitation on appraised value with assumptions of the projected appreciation or depreciation of the investment clearly stated;
- (18) the projected effect on the Foundation School Program of payments to the district for each year of the agreement;
- (19) the projected future tax credits if the applicant also applies for school tax credits under Section 313.103; and
- (20) the total amount of taxes projected to be lost or gained by the district over the life of the agreement computed by subtracting the projected taxes stated in Subdivision (17) from the projected taxes stated in Subdivision (16).

Wages, salaries and benefits [313.026(6-8)]

After construction, the project will create eight new jobs when fully operational. All eight jobs will meet the criteria for qualifying jobs as specified in Tax Code Section 313.021(3). According to the Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), the regional manufacturing wage for the NORTEX Regional Planning Commission Region, where Baylor County is located was \$41,850 in 2012. The annual average manufacturing wage for 2012-2013 for Baylor County is not available. That same year, the county annual average wage for all industries was \$27,729. The regional manufacturing wage for West Central Texas Council of Governments Region where Knox County is located was \$37,941 in 2012. The annual average manufacturing wage for 2012-2013 for Knox County is not available. That same year, the county annual average wage for all industries was \$39,676. In addition to an annual average salary of \$41,259, each qualifying position will receive benefits such as medical insurance coverage (including prescription, dental and vision), short and long-term disability benefits, health care flexible spending account plan, paid holidays, paid vacation and retirement savings plan. The project's total investment is \$390 million, resulting in a relative level of investment per qualifying job of \$48.75 million.

Ability of applicant to locate to another state and [313.026(9)]

According to Green Pastures Wind I, LLC's application, "Wind farms are currently being developed, built and installed in numerous other states with significant renewable energy portfolio requirements and/or power markets supportive of renewable generation, including but not limited to Alabama, Arizona, California, Connecticut, Maryland, Nevada, New Hampshire and Pennsylvania. Within Texas, at least 20 other counties have wind farms proposed, under construction or operating. The Project could be sites in other states or other counties in Texas that would give the Project the opportunity to maximize its return on capital investments. Securing this Chapter 313 agreement with Seymour ISD will help further the project's economic viability."

Number of new facilities in region [313.026(12)]

During the past two years, eight projects in the NORTEX Regional Planning Commission Region applied for value limitation agreements under Tax Code, Chapter 313 and two projects in the West Central Texas Council of Governments Region.

Relationship of applicant's industry and jobs and Texas's economic growth plans [313.026(5)]

The Texas Economic Development Plan focuses on attracting and developing industries using technology. It also identifies opportunities for existing Texas industries. The plan centers on promoting economic prosperity throughout Texas and the skilled workers that the Green Pastures Wind I, LLC project requires appear to be in line with the focus and themes of the plan. Texas identified energy as one of six target clusters in the Texas Cluster Initiative. The plan stresses the importance of technology in all sectors of the energy industry.

Economic Impact [313.026(10)(A), (10)(B), (11), (13-20)]

Table I depicts Green Pastures Wind I, LLC's estimated economic impact to Texas. It depicts the direct, indirect and induced effects to employment and personal income within the state. The Comptroller's office calculated the economic impact based on 16 years of annual investment and employment levels using software from Regional Economic Models, Inc. (REMI). The impact includes the construction period and the operating period of the project.

Table 1: Estimated Statewide Economic Impact of Investment and Employment in Green Pastures Wind I, LLC

		Employment			Personal Income	
		Indirect +				
Year	Direct	Induced	Total	Direct	Indirect + Induced	Total
2013	9	11	20	\$450,000	\$550,000	\$1,000,000
2014	173	176	349	\$8,892,572	\$12,107,428	\$21,000,000
2015	8	15	23	\$330,072	\$2,669,928	\$3,000,000
2016	8	19	27	\$330,072	\$2,669,928	\$3,000,000
2017	8	21	29	\$330,072	\$2,669,928	\$3,000,000
2018	8	19	27	\$330,072	\$2,669,928	\$3,000,000
2019	8	21	29	\$330,072	\$2,669,928	\$3,000,000
2020	8	23	31	\$330,072	\$2,669,928	\$3,000,000
2021	8	25	33	\$330,072	\$2,669,928	\$3,000,000
2022	8	25	33	\$330,072	\$2,669,928	\$3,000,000
2023	8	27	35	\$330,072	\$3,669,928	\$4,000,000
2024	8	23	31	\$330,072	\$3,669,928	\$4,000,000
2025	8	27	35	\$330,072	\$2,669,928	\$3,000,000
2026	8	17	25	\$330,072	\$1,669,928	\$2,000,000
2027	8	17	25	\$330,072	\$2,669,928	\$3,000,000
2028	8	12	20	\$330,072	\$2,669,928	\$3,000,000

Source: CPA, REMI, Green Pastures Wind I, LLC

The statewide average ad valorem tax base for school districts in Texas was \$1.65 billion in 2012-2013. Seymour ISD's ad valorem tax base in 2012-2013 was \$147 million. The statewide average wealth per WADA was estimated at \$343,155 for fiscal 2012-2013. During that same year, Seymour ISD's estimated wealth per WADA was \$147,186. The impact on the facilities and finances of the district are presented in Attachment 2.

Table 2 examines the estimated direct impact on ad valorem taxes to the school district, Baylor County, Knox County, Baylor County Hospital District, Knox County Hospital District, and Rolling Plains Groundwater Conservation District, with all property tax incentives sought being granted using estimated market value from Green Pastures Wind I, LLC's application. Green Pastures Wind I, LLC has applied for both a value limitation under Chapter 313, Tax Code and tax abatements with each county and each hospital district. Table 3 illustrates the estimated tax impact of the Green Pastures Wind I, LLC project on the region if all taxes are assessed.

Estimated Taxable Value Famula Taxable Value Taxable	Table 2	Estimated Din	ect Ad Valoren	n Taxes wit	h all propert	y tax incentiv	es sought					<u> </u>		
Estimated Taxable Value Farmated Farmated				Γ'										
Estimated Taxable Value Farmatic Taxable Value Taxab							ISD M&O	Seymour ISD	Baylor	Knox	Baytor	Knox	Rolling Plains	
Estimated Taxable Value for ISD f&S Levy Levy Credit Credit Credit Credit Credit Credit Credit Levy (40%) (60%) (40%) (60%) (40%)							and I&S	M&O and	County and	County and	County	County	Groundwater	
Tax able Value							Tax Levies	I&S Tax	Road &	Farm-to-	Hospital	Hospital	Conservation	Estimated
Vear	i						'		Bridge Tax	Market Tax	District Tax	District Tax	District Tax	Total
Tax Rate										Levy	Levy	Levy	Levy	Property
2014 \$19,500,000 \$19,500,000 \$0 \$202,800 \$202,800 \$202,800 \$78,746 \$57,441 \$48,497 \$31,854 \$4251 \$4251 2015 \$374,400,000 \$374,400,000 \$0 \$3,893,760 \$3,893,760 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$816,19 \$3,975 2016 \$359,424,000 \$100,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$78,354 \$182 2017 \$345,047,040 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$20,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$78,254 \$182 2018 \$331,245,158 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$75,220 \$127 2018 \$331,245,158 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Year	for I&S	M&O	<u> </u>	Levy	Levy	Credited)	Credited)	(60%)	(40%)	(60%)	(40%)	(100%)	Taxes
2015 \$374,400,000 \$374,400,000 \$3,893,760 \$3,893,760 \$3,893,760 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$78,354 \$187 2017 \$335,047,000 \$100,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$75,220 \$127 2018 \$331,245,158 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$72,211 \$12-2 \$121 \$122 \$102 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$72,211 \$12-2 \$122 \$122 \$102 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$20,000 \$0									0.6730	0.7364	0.4145	0.4084	0.0218	
2016 \$359,424,000 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$78,354 \$182 2017 \$345,047,040 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$75,220 \$127 2018 \$331,245,158 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$72,211 \$122 2019 \$317,995,352 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0										\$57,441	\$48,497	\$31,854	\$4,251	\$423,588
2017 5345(47,040 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$75,220 \$127 2018 5331,245,158 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$75,221 \$123 2019 \$317,995,352 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$69,323 \$121 2020 \$305,275,538 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$69,323 \$121 2021 \$293,054,516 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$0 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$66,550 \$118 2022 \$281,341,946 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$66,588 \$111 2023 \$270,088,258 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$66,588 \$112 2024 \$259,284,728 \$299,284,728 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50							\$3,893,760	\$3,893,760	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$81,619	\$3,975,379
2018 \$331,245,158 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$72,211 \$122 2019 \$317,995,352 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$66,550 \$118 2020 \$305,275,538 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$66,550 \$118 2021 \$293,064,516 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$66,550 \$118 2022 \$281,341,936 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$61,333 \$117 2023 \$270,088,258 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$61,333 \$117 2024 \$259,284,728 \$259,284,728 \$29,846,561 \$26,66,561 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>\$104,000</td> <td>\$104,000</td> <td>\$104,000</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>\$()</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>\$78,354</td> <td>\$182,354</td>					-	\$104,000	\$104,000	\$104,000	\$0	\$0	\$()	\$0	\$78,354	\$182,354
2019 \$317.995,352 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$66,550 \$119 \$202 \$305,275,538 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$66,550 \$119 \$102 \$293,064,516 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0						\$104,000	\$104,000	\$52,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$75,220	\$127,220
2020 \$305,275,538 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$66,550 \$118 2021 \$293,064,516 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$63,888 \$112 2022 \$281,341,936 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$61,333 \$117 2023 \$270,088,258 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$61,333 \$117 2024 \$259,284,728 \$259,284,728 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$58,779 \$110 \$0						\$104,000	\$104,000	\$52,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$72.211	\$124,211
2021 \$293.064,516 \$10.000.000 \$0 \$104.000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$63,888 \$112 2022 \$281,341,936 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$61,333 \$117 2023 \$270,088,258 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$58,879 \$116 2024 \$259,284,728 \$259,284,728 \$0 \$2,696,561 \$2,696,561 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$58,879 \$116 2024 \$259,284,728 \$259,284,728 \$0 \$2,696,561 \$2,696,561 \$0						\$104,000	\$104,000	\$52,000	\$0	\$0	\$()	\$0	\$69,323	\$121,323
2022 \$281,341,936 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$61,333 \$112 2023 \$270,088,258 \$10,000,000 \$0 \$104,000 \$104,000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$58,879 \$110 2024 \$259,284,728 \$29,294,728 \$0 \$2,696,561 \$2,696,561 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$58,879 \$110 \$102,500 \$10,500 \$10,000 <						\$104,000	\$104,000	\$52,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	\$66,550	\$118,550
2023 \$270.088.258 \$10.000.000 \$0 \$104.000 \$52,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$588.779 \$110 2024 \$259.284.728 \$259.284.728 \$0 \$2,696.561 \$2,696.561 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$50							\$104,000	\$52,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$63,888	\$115,888
2024 \$259,284,728 \$259,284,728 \$259,284,728 \$0 \$2,696,561 \$2,696,561 \$0					\$0	\$104,000	\$104,000	\$52,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$61,333	\$113,333
2025 \$248,913,339 \$248,913,339 \$0 \$2,588,699 \$2,588,699 \$1,760,700 \$1,005,172 \$733,219 \$619,047 \$406,605 \$4,263 \$4,575 2026 \$238,956,805 \$238,956,805 \$0 \$2,485,151 \$2,485,151 \$964,965 \$703,890 \$594,286 \$390,341 \$52,093 \$5,190 2027 \$229,398,533 \$229,398,533 \$0 \$2,385,745 \$2,385,745 \$926,366 \$675,735 \$570,514 \$374,727 \$50,009 \$4,983 2028 \$220,222,592 \$0 \$2,290,315 \$2,290,315 \$889,312 \$648,705 \$547,694 \$359,738 \$48,009 \$4,783						\$104,000	\$104,000	\$52,000	\$0	\$0	\$()	\$0	\$58,879	\$110,879
2026 \$238,956,805 \$238,956,805 \$0 \$2,485,151 \$2,485,151 \$2,485,151 \$2,485,151 \$964,965 \$703,890 \$594,286 \$390,341 \$52,093 \$5,194 2027 \$229,398,533 \$229,398,533 \$0 \$2,385,745 \$2,385,745 \$926,366 \$675,735 \$570,514 \$374,727 \$50,000 \$4,983 2028 \$220,222,592 \$0 \$2,290,315 \$2,290,315 \$889,312 \$648,705 \$547,694 \$359,738 \$48,000 \$4,783	_		\$259,284,728		\$0	\$2,696,561	\$2,696,561	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$56,524	\$56,524
2027 \$229,398,533 \$229,398,533 \$0 \$2,385,745 \$2,385,745 \$2,285,745 \$926,366 \$675,735 \$570,514 \$374,727 \$500,09 \$4,983 \$2028 \$220,222,592 \$20,222,592 \$0 \$2,290,315 \$2,290,315 \$2,290,315 \$889,312 \$648,705 \$547,694 \$359,738 \$48,009 \$4,783					\$0	\$2,588,699	\$2,588,699	\$1,760,700	\$1,005,172	\$733,219	\$619,047	\$406,605	\$54,263	\$4,579,006
2028 \$220,222,592 \$220,222,592 \$0 \$2,290,315 \$2,290,315 \$2,290,315 \$889,312 \$648,705 \$547,694 \$359,738 \$48,009 \$4,783					\$0				\$964,965	\$703,890	\$594,286	\$390,341	\$52,093	\$5,190,725
### ### ##############################					50	\$2,385,745	\$2,385,745	\$2,385,745	\$926,366	\$675,735	\$570,514	\$374,727	\$50,009	\$4,983,096
Total \$13,486,470 \$3,864,560 \$2,818,990 \$2,380,037 \$1,563,264 \$892,526 \$25,005	2028	\$220,222,592	\$220,222,592		\$0	\$2,290,315	\$2,290,315	\$2,290,315	\$889,312	\$648,705	\$547,694	\$359,738	\$48,009	\$4,783,772
Total \$13,486,470 \$3,864,560 \$2,818,990 \$2,380,037 \$1,563,264 \$892,526 \$25,005														
							Total	\$13,486,470	\$3,864,560	\$2,818,990	\$2,380,037	\$1,563,264	\$892,526	\$25,005,849
							_							
Assumes School Value Limitation and Tax Abatements with Baylor and Knox Counties, and Baylor and Knox Hospital Districts.	Assume	s School Value L	imitation and Ta	x Abatemen	ts with Baylo	r and Knox Co	<u>unties,</u> and Ba	ylor and Knox H	ospital Districts	S.				

Source: CPA, Green Pastures Wind I, LLC Tax Rate per \$100 Valuation

Table 3	Estimated Dire	et Ad Valoren	n Taxes wit	hout proper	y tax incentiv	es							
Year	Estimated Taxable Value for I&S	Estimated Taxable Value for M&O		Seymour ISD 1&S Levy	Seymour ISD M&O Levy		Seymour ISD M&O and 1&S Tax Levies		Knox County and Farm-to- Market Tax Levy (40%)	Baylor County Hospital District Tax Levy (60%)	County	Rolling Plains Groundwater Conservation District Tax Levy (100 %)	Estimated Total Property Taxes
			Tax Rate 1	0.0000	1,0400	Vi i		0.6730		0.4145			
2014	\$19,500,000	\$19,500,000		50	\$202,800	1 /	\$202,800	\$78,746		\$48,497	\$31,854		\$423,588
2015	\$374,400,000	\$374,400,000		\$0	\$3,893,760	1 /	\$3,893,760	\$1.511.917	\$1,102,863	\$931,133			\$8,132,882
2016	\$359,424,000	\$359,424,000		\$0	\$3,738,010	1	\$3,738,010	\$1,451,440	\$1,058,748	\$893,887			\$7,807,566
2017	\$345,047,040	\$345,047,040		\$0	\$3,588,489	1	\$3,588,489	\$1,393,383	\$1,016,398	\$858,132	\$563,641	\$75,220	\$7,195,264
2018	\$331,245,158	\$331,245,158		\$0	\$3,444,950	1/	\$3,444,950	\$1,337,647	\$975,742	\$823,807	\$541,096	\$72,211	\$7,195,453
2019	\$317,995,352	\$317,995,352	-	\$0	\$3,307,152	1/	\$3,307,152		\$936,713	\$790,854	\$519,452	\$69,323	\$6,907,635
2020		\$305,275,538		\$0	\$3,174,866	¥	\$3,174,866			\$759,220	\$498,674	\$66,550	\$6,631,330
2021	<u>\$293.064.516</u>			\$0	\$3,047,871	Λ	\$3,047,871	\$1,183,465		\$728,851	\$478,727	\$63,888	\$6,366,076
2022	\$281,341,936	\$281,341,936		\$0	\$2,925,956	/ \	\$2,925,956			\$699,697	\$459,578	\$61,333	\$6,111,433
2023	\$270,088,258	\$270,088,258		\$0	\$2,808,918	1 1	\$2,808,918			\$671,709	\$441,195	\$58,879	\$5,866,976
2024	\$259,284,728	\$259,284,728			\$2,696,561	1 1	\$2,696,561	\$1,047,054		\$644,841	\$423,547	\$56,524	\$5,632,297
2025	\$248,913,339	\$248,913,339		\$0	\$2,588,699	1 1	\$2,588,699			\$619,047	\$406,605	\$54,263	\$5,407,005
2026		\$238,956,805		\$0	\$2,485,151	/ \	\$2,485,151			\$594,286			\$5,190,725
2027	\$229,398,533	\$229,398,533		- 50	\$2,385,745	/ \	\$2,385,745			\$570,514			\$4,983,096
2028	\$220,222,592	\$220,222,592		\$0	\$2,290,315	0.00	\$2,290,315	\$889,312	\$648,705	\$547,694	\$359,738	\$48,009	\$4,783,772
\vdash			_										
	GD 4 G			17.7.		Total	\$42,579,241	<u>[516,533,192</u>	\$12,060,079	\$10,182,170	\$6,687,889	\$892,526	\$88,935,097

Source: CPA, Green Pastures Wind I, LLC Tax Rate per \$100 Valuation

Attachment 1 includes schedules A, B, C, and D provided by the applicant in the application. Schedule A shows proposed investment. Schedule B is the projected market value of the qualified property. Schedule C contains employment information, and Schedule D contains tax expenditures and other tax abatement information.

Attachment 2, provided by the district and reviewed by the Texas Education Agency, contains information relating to the financial impact of the proposed project on the finances of the district as well as the tax benefit of the value limitation. "Table 5" in this attachment shows the estimated 15 year M&O tax levy without the value limitation agreement would be \$42,579,241. The estimated gross 15 year M&O tax benefit, or levy loss, is \$29,092,771.

Attachment 3 is an economic overview of Baylor and Knox Counties.

Disclaimer: This examination is based on information from the application submitted to the school district and forwarded to the comptroller. It is intended to meet the statutory requirement of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code and is not intended for any other purpose.

Attachments

- 1. Schedules A, B, C, and D provided by applicant in application
- 2. School finance and tax benefit provided by district
- 3. County Economic Overview

Attachment 1

Schedule A (Rev. May 2010): Investment

				90000	Transfer to the transfer of the State of	,			
				PROP	PROPERTY INVESTIGENT AMOUNTS	07			
			4	stinated investors	Estinated investment in each year. Do not put cumulative totake,	mulative totals.)			
		Year	School Year (mmr-mm)	Tex Year (Fit in ectual tax year below) YYYY	Column A: Tangible Personal Property The smount of new investment (organic cost) placed in screon	Cotamn B: Dicting or permanent movimmentable component of busing (errus) arrount only)	Column C; Sum of A and B Cushying investment (curing the qualifying three period)	Coturn D: Other investment that is not qualited threstment afterday economic meeting and additions maked and total verse.	Cohave E; Total Investment (A-B-D)
	Investment made before filing complete app district (neither qualified property nor eligible become qualified investment)	application with gable to							
The year preceding the first correlete tax year of the qualifying time beriod	Investment made after 18 ng complete application i with district, but before final board approvation application (eligible to become qualitied property)	ation of sperty)	2013-2014	2013					
(Assuming no defensis)	Investment made after final board apprimated of eppbeation and before Lan. 1 of first complete tax year of caselying time period (quelified mare served and eligible to become qualified property).	th tax year			603 BDF 81.8		DI 25.00 E		200 BA 833
	Complete tax years of qualifying time	-	2014-2015	2014	E170 400 ctol		6370 450 000		6420 400 000
	pened	7	2015-2016	2015					
		0	2016-2017	2018			Samuel Contraction of		
			2017-2018	2017					
		40	2018-2019	2018					
Tow Creek Benne		ю	2019-2020	2019					
(weth 50% cap on		2	2020-2021	2020			A foundation of the same of th	•	
credity			2021-202	2021		,			
		0	2022-2023	2022					
		10	2023-2024	2023		- 200000			1
		=	2024-2025	2024					
Credit Settle-Up Penod	Contrare to Maintain Viable Presence	12	2025-2026	2028					
		13	2026-2027	2026					
	Post- Settle-Up Period	7	2027-2328	2027					
	Don't Control to Declared								

This represents the total collar amount of praimed investment in langible personal property the applicant considers questifed investment - as defined in Tax Code §313.52.((1)AA-(D). Qualifying Time Period usuaby begins with the final board approval of the application and extents generally for the following two complete lax years. Column A:

For the paposes of investment, please is amount invested each year, not carrulaive telats. For the years outside the qualifying thme period, this number should simply represent the planment in langule personal property. Include estimates of time straves for hepborarrent" property that is part of original agreement but scheduled for probable replacament during limitation period

The intel codar amount of planned investment each year in buildings or nonvermovable component of buildings that the applicant considers qualified investment under Tax Code \$313 021 (1)(E). Column H:

Coder value of other threatment sharmsy not be qualified investment but that may a fercile conservation and operation of the facility.
The most legificant earmysis for many projects would be bart. Other examples tray be listne such as professional servace; .ek.
1999. Land can be stated as part of investment during the "pro-year" of "one-year" of "one For the years outside the qualifying time period, this number should simply represent the planned innerstrient in new buildings or no removable components of buildings.

Column D:

Motes. For advenced dean energy projects, intotest projects, projects with defened quadfying time periods, and projects with lengthy application review periods, insert additional rows as needed

This schedule must be submitted with the original application and any application for tax ends. When using this schedule for any purpose after than the original extinates have not changed, enter those amounts for future years. If original estimates have not changed, enter those amounts for future years.

DATE

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE

Schedule B (Rev. May 2010): Estimated Market And Taxable Value

Form 50-296

Green Pastures Wind I Applicant Name ISD Name

Estimated Taxable Value		value for Frazi taxable rate value for NBO-effer and reductions and reductions			ğ ω												
	8	ISS - after at reductions	ISS-after at reductions	LSS - after all reductions reductions \$19,500,000	LS- after at reductions reductions \$19,500,000	\$19,500,000 \$374,400,000 \$359,424,000	\$19,500,000 \$374,400,000 \$345,0424,000	\$19,500,000 \$374,400,000 \$359,424,000 \$345,047,040	\$19,500,000 \$19,500,000 \$374,400,000 \$359,424,000 \$331,245,158 \$331,245,158	\$19,500,000 \$374,400,000 \$359,424,000 \$345,047,040 \$331,245,158 \$317,995,352 \$305,275,538	\$19,500,000 \$374,400,000 \$374,400,000 \$345,047,040 \$331,245,158 \$317,995,352 \$305,275,538	\$19,500,000 \$374,400,000 \$359,424,000 \$345,047,040 \$331,245,158 \$317,995,352 \$305,275,538 \$293,064,516	\$19,500,000 \$374,400,000 \$374,400,000 \$345,042,000 \$345,045,158 \$317,995,352 \$305,275,538 \$2293,064,516 \$2291,341,936	\$19,500,000 \$374,400,000 \$3374,400,000 \$3359,424,000 \$3345,047,040 \$3317,995,352 \$305,275,538 \$2293,064,516 \$2291,341,936	\$19,500,000 \$374,400,000 \$374,400,000 \$345,047,040 \$345,047,040 \$331,245,158 \$305,275,538 \$293,064,516 \$2293,064,516 \$2293,088,258 \$2293,088,258	\$19,500,000 \$374,400,000 \$3374,400,000 \$3345,0424,000 \$3345,047,040 \$3317,995,352 \$305,275,538 \$2293,064,516 \$2281,341,936 \$229,284,728 \$2269,284,728 \$228,913,339	\$19,500,000 \$374,400,000 \$374,400,000 \$345,042,000 \$331,245,158 \$317,995,352 \$305,275,538 \$229,088,258 \$229,398,258 \$229,398,533
Marked	pole yin the in or on smert Exempted Value		1	1 1	1 1												
Estimated Total Market Value of tampible personal property in the	_	1		- 00	- 00	- 000	000 000		000	000	000	000	000	000			
Estrated Total harber Value of new Value buildings or other new introverents			\$19,500,000		\$374,400,000												
Estimated Marret Vatue of Land			-	15		9											
-6-		_	14-2013 2014	2015-2016 2015		2016-2017 2016											
School Year (YYYY-YYY) PIRE- Year 1 2013-2014 1 2014-2015				2 2015-2		3 2016-2											
		ud .	Complete tax	time period					<u> </u>								<u></u>
													Tax Credit Va Period (with 50% cap on credit)				- O - HITE

Notes: Market value in future years is good faith estimate of future taxable value for the purposes of property faxation.

This schedule must be submitted with the original application and any application for tax credit. When using this schedule for any purpose other than the original appraisal district data for past years and updato estimates for current and future years. If original estimates have not changed,

enter those amounts for future years.

DATE

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE

Schedule C- Application: Employment Information

Seymour Applicant Name ISD Name

Green Pastures Wind I

982-06 ELO-J	Construction New Jobs Ovalifying Jobs	Column A: Column B: Number of column D: pbs applicant Average armal jobs applicant Average construction FIE's wage rates for controls tepecary controls (specify) which was a control of controls (controls) was a control of control o	18,000 \$52,000	342,500 8 \$52,000 8	8 \$41,259 8	8 \$41,259 B	8 \$41,259 8	8 \$41,259 B	B \$41,259 B	B \$41,259 B	8 \$41,259 8	9 \$41,259 8	8 \$41,259 8	8 \$41,259 B	8 \$41259 8	8 \$41,259 B	e \$41,259 8	
L		Tax Yoar Co (Fil in ectual tax year)	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	9000
		School Year (YYYY:YYY)	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	2020-2021	2021-2022	2022-2023	2023-2024	2024-2025	2025-2026	2026-2027	2027-2028	DCDC.BCDC
		Year	pre- year 1	-	2	1	7	rD.	9	7	8	5	10	11	12	13	14	15
				Complete tax	time period				Value Limitation	Period				i de la companya de l	Maintain Vlable	Fresence	Up Period	In Period
									Tay Cracit Dadod	(with 50% cap on	Crean)				Credit Settle-Up Perlod		Post-Settle-Up Period	Poet, Settle, In Bodod

Notes: For job definitions see TAC §9.1051(14) and Tax Code §313.021(3).

This schedule must be submitted with the original application and any application for tax credit. When using this schedule for any purpose other than the original application. replace original estimates with actual appraisal district data for past years and update estimates for current and future years. If original estimates have not changed,

enter those amounts for future years.

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE

Schedule D: (Rev. May 2010): Other Tax Information

Applicant Name	Green Pastures Wind I	es Wind I					ISD Name	Seymon			Form 50-296	
					Sales Ta.	Sales Tax Information	Franchise Tax	Othe	Other Property Tax Abatements Sought	Abatomonts	Sought Sought	
					Sales Taxab	Sales Taxable Expenditures	Franchise Tax	County	City	Hospital	Other	
		Year	School Year (YYYY-YYYY)	Tau! Calendar Year YYYY	Column F: Extinate of total annual expendures' subject to state sales tax	Column G: Estimate of total annual expenditues* mode in Texos NOT subject to sales tax	Column H. Estinate of Franchise lax due attributable to) the applicant	Fill in percentage exemption requested or granted in each year of the Agreement	Fig in percentage exemption requested or granted in each year of the Agreement	Filin percentage exemption requested or granted in each year of the Agreement	Fil in percentage exertption requested or granted in each year of the Agreement	
The year preceding the first complete tax year of the qualifying time period (assuming no deferrals)			2013-2014	2013	\$1,789,850	\$17,700,150	960	% 0	%0	%0	960	
	Complete lax years of	-	2014-2015	2014	\$34,197,150	\$336,302,850		%0	*0	950	%0	
	quadrying ture period	74	2015-2016	2015				100%	%0	100%	960	
		9	2016-2017	2016				100%	%0	100%	960	
		4	2017-2018	2017			70	100%	36	100%	%0	
		5	2018-2019	2018				100%	%0	100%	%0	
	Value Limitation	9	2019-2020	2019				100%	%0	100%	%0	
Pariod (w.th 50% cap on	Period	7	2020-2021	2020			\$ 221,907	100%	%0	100%	960	
credit)		8	2021-2022	2021			\$ 221,251	100%	%0	100%	%0	
		6	2022-2023	2022			\$ 221,068	100%	%0	100%	960	
		10	2023-2024	2023			\$ 223,805	100%	%0	100%	960	
_	Continue to	11	2024-2025	2024			\$ 225,655	100%	%0	100%	%0	
Creat Settle-N	Maintain Viable	12	2025-2026	2025			\$ 204,611	%0	%0	0%	960	
	T dealing	13	2026-2027	2026			\$ 202,276	9%0	%0	9%0	%0	
Post- Settle-Up Period	Up Period	14	2027-2028	2027			\$ 382,055	9%0	%0	%0	%0	
Post- Settle-Up Period	Up Period	15	2028-2029	2028			\$ 388,628	%0	%0	%6	960	
"For plenning, construction and poeration of the facility.	astruction and c	voeration of	the facility									

*For planning, construction and operation of the facility.

SKGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE

07/26/2013

Attachment 2



1701 North Congress Ave. • Austin, Texas 78701-1494 • 512 463-9734 • 512 463-9838 FAX • www.tea.state.tx.us

Michael Williams
Commissioner

October 30, 2013

Mr. Robert Wood
Director, Economic Development and Analysis
Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
Lyndon B. Johnson State Office Building
111 East 17th Street
Austin, Texas 78774

Dear Mr. Wood:

As required by the Tax Code, §313.025 (b-1), the Texas Education Agency (TEA) has evaluated the impact of the proposed Green Pastures Wind I LLC project on the number and size of school facilities in Seymour Independent School District (SISD). Based on the analysis prepared by Moak, Casey and Associates for the school district and a conversation with the SISD superintendent, John Baker, the TEA has found that the Green Pastures Wind I LLC project would not have a significant impact on the number or size of school facilities in SISD.

Please feel free to contact me by phone at (512) 463-9186 or by email at al.mckenzie@tea.state.tx.us if you need further information about this issue.

Sincerely,

Al McKenzie, Manager

Foundation School Program Support

AM/rk



1701 North Congress Ave. • Austin, Texas 78701-1494 • 512 463-9734 • 512 463-9838 FAX • www.tea.state:tx.us

Michael Williams
Commissioner

October 30, 2013

Mr. Robert Wood Director, Economic Development and Analysis Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts Lyndon B. Johnson State Office Building 111 East 17th Street Austin, Texas 78774

Dear Mr. Wood:

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) has analyzed the revenue gains that would be realized by the proposed Green Pastures Wind I LLC project for the Seymour Independent School District (SISD). Projections prepared by the TEA State Funding Division confirm the analysis that was prepared by Moak, Casey and Associates and provided to us by your division. We believe their assumptions regarding the potential revenue gain are valid, and their estimates of the impact of the Green Pastures Wind I LLC project on SISD are correct.

Please feel free to contact me by phone at (512) 463-9186 or by email at al.mckenzie@tea.state.tx.us if you need further information about this issue.

Sincerely,

Al McKenzie, Manager

Foundation School Program Support

AM/rk

Summary of Financial Impact of the Proposed Green Pastures Wind I, LLC Project on the Finances of the Seymour Independent School District Under A Requested Chapter 313 Property Value Limitation

August 19, 2013

Final Report

PREPARED BY





I, LLC Project on the Finances of the Seymour Independent School District under a Requested Chapter 313 Property Value Limitation

Introduction

Green Pastures Wind I (Green Pastures) has requested that the Seymour Independent School District (SISD) consider granting a property value limitation under Chapter 313 of the Tax Code, also known as the Texas Economic Development Act. In an application submitted to SISD on July 30, 2013, Green Pastures proposes to invest \$390 million to construct a new renewable wind energy electric generation project in SISD.

The Green Pastures project is consistent with the state's goal to "encourage large scale capital investments in this state." When enacted as House Bill 1200 in 2001, Chapter 313 of the Tax Code granted eligibility to companies engaged in manufacturing, research and development, and renewable electric energy production to apply to school districts for property value limitations. Subsequent legislative changes expanded eligibility to clean coal projects, nuclear power generation and data centers, among others.

Under the provisions of Chapter 313, SISD may offer a minimum value limitation of \$10 million. The provisions of Chapter 313 call for the project to be fully taxable in the 2014-15 and 2015-16 school years, unless the District and the Company agree to an extension of the start of the two-year qualifying time period. For the purpose of this analysis, it is assumed that the qualifying time period will be the 2014-15 and 2015-16 school years. Beginning with the 2016-17 school year, the project would go on the local tax roll at \$10 million and remain at that level of taxable value for eight years for maintenance and operations (M&O) taxes.

The full taxable value of the project could be assessed for debt service taxes on voter-approved bond issues throughout the limitation period; however, SISD does not currently levy an 1&S tax. The full taxable value of the investment is expected to reach \$374 million in the 2015-16 school year. While depreciation is expected to reduce the taxable value of the project over the course of the value limitation agreement, at its peak value the Green Pastures project would add more than twice the value of the current underlying tax base for l&S purposes, should the District decide to pursue a bond issue in the future.

In the case of the Green Pastures project, the agreement calls for a calculation of the revenue impact of the value limitation in years 3-10 of the agreement, under whatever school finance and property tax laws are in effect in each of those years. Under current law, SISD would experience a revenue loss as a result of the implementation of the value limitation in the 2016-17 school year (-\$503,712). No out-year losses are anticipated.

Under the assumptions outlined below, the potential tax benefits under a Chapter 313 agreement could reach an estimated \$28.6 million over the course of the agreement. This amount is net of any anticipated revenue losses for the District.



School Finance Mechanics

Under the current school finance system, the property values established by the Comptroller's Office that are used to calculate state aid and recapture lag by one year, a practical consequence of the fact that the Comptroller's Office needs this time to conduct its property value study and the audits of appraisal district operations in alternating years. A taxpayer receiving a value limitation pays M&O taxes on the reduced value for the project in years 3-10 and receives a tax bill for l&S taxes based on the full project value throughout the qualifying and value limitation period (and thereafter). The school funding formulas use the Comptroller's property values that reflect a reduction due to the property value limitation in years 4-11 as a result of the one-year lag in property values.

The third year is often problematical financially for a school district that approves a Chapter 313 value limitation. The implementation of the value limitation often results in a revenue loss to the school district in the third year of the agreement that would not be reimbursed by the state, but require some type of compensation from the applicant under the revenue protection provisions of the agreement. In years 4-10, smaller revenue losses would be anticipated when the state M&O property values are aligned at the minimum value established by the Board on both the local tax roll and the corresponding state property value study.

Under the HB I system adopted in 2006, most school districts received additional state aid for tax reduction (ASATR) that was used to maintain their target revenue amounts established at the revenue levels under old law for the 2005-06 or 2006-07 school years, whichever was highest. In terms of new Chapter 313 property value limitation agreements, adjustments to ASATR funding often moderated the impact of the reduced M&O collections as a result of the limitation, in contrast with the earlier formula-driven finance system.

House Bill 3646 as enacted in 2009 created more "formula" school districts that were less dependent on ASATR state aid than had been the case previously. The formula reductions enacted during the First Called Session in 2011 made \$4 billion in reductions to the existing school funding formulas for the 2011-12 and 2012-13 school years. For the 2011-12 school year, across-the-board reductions were made that reduced each district's WADA count and resulted in an estimated 781 school districts still receiving ASATR to maintain their target revenue funding levels, while an estimated 243 districts operated directly on the state formulas. For the 2012-13 school year, the changes called for smaller across-the-board reductions and funding ASATR-receiving target revenue districts at 92.35 percent of the level provided for under the existing funding formula, with 689 districts operating on formula and 335 districts still receiving ASATR funding.

Senate Bill 1 and House Bill 1025 as passed by the 83rd Legislature made significant increases to the basic allotment and other formula changes by appropriation. The ASATR reduction percentage is increased slightly to 92.63 percent, while the basic allotment is increased by \$325 and \$365, respectively, for the 2013-14 and 2014-15 school years. A slight increase in the guaranteed yield for the 6 cents above compressed—known as the Austin yield—is also included. With the basic allotment increase, it is estimated that approximately 300 school districts will still receive ASATR in the 2013-14 school year and 273 districts would do so in the 2014-15 school year. Current state policy calls for ASATR funding to be eliminated by the 2017-18 school year.

SISD is classified as a formula district in most years under the estimates presented below. The exception is the 2016-17 initial value limitation year, when approximately \$3 million in ASATR



funds are expected under current law to offset the reduction in M&O taxes that would occur that year. This issue will be discussed in more detail below.

One concern in projecting into the future is that the underlying state statutes in the Education Code were not changed in order to provide these funding increases. All of the major formula changes were made by appropriation, which gives them only a two-year lifespan unless renewed in the 2015 legislative session. Despite this uncertainty, it is assumed that these changes will remain in effect for the forecast period for the purpose of these estimates, assuming a continued legislative commitment to these funding levels in future years.

A key element in any analysis of the school finance implications is the provision for revenue protection in the agreement between the school district and the applicant. In the case of the Green Pastures project, the agreement calls for a calculation of the revenue impact of the value limitation in years 3-10 of the agreement, under whatever school finance and property tax laws are in effect in each of those years. This meets the statutory requirement under Section 313.027(f)(1) of the Tax Code to provide school district revenue protection language in the agreement.

Underlying Assumptions

There are several approaches that can be used to analyze the future revenue stream of a school district under a value limitation. Whatever method is used, a reasonable analysis requires the use of a multi-year forecasting model that covers the years in which the agreement is in effect. The Chapter 313 application now requires 15 years of data and analysis on the project being considered for a property value limitation.

The general approach used here is to maintain static enrollment and underlying base property values in order to isolate the effects of the value limitation in the school finance system. The SB 1 basic allotment increases are reflected in the underlying models. With regard to ASATR funding the 92.63 percent reduction enacted for the 2013-14 school year and thereafter, until the 2017-18 school year. A statement of legislative intent was adopted in 2011 to no longer fund target revenue by the 2017-18 school year, so that change is reflected in the estimates presented below.

The projected taxable values of the Green Pastures project are also factored into the base model used here in order to simulate the financial effects of having the project completed in the absence of a value limitation agreement. The impact of the limitation value for the proposed Green Pastures project is isolated separately and the focus of this analysis.

Student enrollment counts are held constant at 545 students in average daily attendance (ADA) in analyzing the effects of the Green Pastures project on the finances of SISD. The District's local underlying tax base reached \$159.5 million for the 2012 tax year and is maintained for the forecast period in order to isolate the effects of the property value limitation. An M&O tax rate of \$1.04 per \$100 is used throughout this analysis. SISD has estimated state property wealth per weighted ADA or WADA of approximately \$152,528 for the 2013-14 school year. The enrollment and property value assumptions for the 15 years that are the subject of this analysis are summarized in Table 1.



School Finance Impact

School finance models were prepared for SISD under the assumptions outlined above through the 2028-29 school year. Beyond the 2014-15 school year, no attempt was made to forecast the 88th percentile or Austin yield that influence future state funding beyond the projected level for that school year. In the analyses for other districts and applicants on earlier projects, these changes appeared to have little impact on the revenue associated with the implementation of the property value limitation, since the baseline and other models incorporate the same underlying assumptions.

Under the proposed agreement, a model is established to make a calculation of the "Baseline Revenue" by adding the value of the proposed Green Pastures facility to the model, but without assuming that a value limitation is approved. The results of this model are shown in Table 2.

A second model is developed which adds the Green Pastures value but imposes the proposed property value limitation effective in the third year, which in this case is the 2016-17 school year. The results of this model are identified as "Value Limitation Revenue Model" under the revenue protection provisions of the proposed agreement (see Table 3). A summary of the differences between these models is shown in Table 4.

Under these assumptions, SISD would experience a revenue loss as a result of the implementation of the value limitation in the 2016-17 school year (-\$503,712). The revenue reduction results from the mechanics of the one-year lag in value associated with the property value study, with a substantial offset in ASATR funding and a small offsetting reduction in recapture costs.

As noted previously, no attempt was made to forecast further reductions in ASATR funding beyond the 92.63 percent adjustment adopted for the 2013-14 school year. It is assumed that ASATR will be eliminated beginning in the 2017-18 school year, based on the 2011 statement of legislative intent.

One risk factor under the estimates presented here relates to the implementation of the value limitation in the 2016-17 school year. The formula loss of \$503,712 cited above between the base and the limitation models is based on an assumption that Green Pastures would receive M&O tax savings of \$3,634,010 in the 2016-17 school year. Under the estimates presented here and highlighted in Table 4, an increase in ASATR funding of \$3 million is expected to offset this reduction, along with a reduction in recapture costs of \$163,135.

In general, the ASATR offset poses little financial risk to SISD as a result of the adoption of the value limitation agreement. But a significant reduction of ASATR funding prior to the assumed 2017-18 school year elimination of these funds could reduce the residual tax savings in the first year that the \$10 million value limitation takes effect.

The Comptroller's state property value study influences these calculations, as noted previously. At the school-district level, a taxpayer benefiting from a property value limitation has two property values assigned by the local appraisal district for their property covered by the limitation: (1) a reduced value for M&O taxes, and (2) the full taxable value for 1&S taxes. This situation exists for the eight years that the value limitation is in effect. Two state property value determinations are also made for school districts granting Chapter 313 agreements, consistent with local practice. A consolidated single state property value had been provided previously.



Impact on the Taxpayer

Table 5 summarizes the impact of the proposed property value limitation in terms of the potential tax savings under the property value limitation agreement. The focus of this table is on the M&O tax rate only. As noted previously, the property is fully taxable in the first two years under the agreement. A \$1.04 per \$100 of taxable value M&O rate is assumed in 2013-14 and thereafter.

Under the assumptions used here, the potential tax savings from the value limitation total \$25.2 million over the life of the agreement. In addition, Green Pastures would be eligible for a tax credit for M&O taxes paid on value in excess of the value limitation in each of the first two qualifying years. The credit amount is paid out slowly through years 4-10 due to statutory limits on the scale of these payments over these seven years, with catch-up payments permitted in years 11-13. The tax credits are expected to total approximately \$3.9 million over the life of the agreement, with no unpaid tax credits anticipated. The school district is to be reimbursed by the Texas Education Agency for the cost of these credits.

The key SISD revenue loss is expected to total approximately \$503,712 in the initial year the limitation takes effect (2016-17) under the agreement. The total potential net tax benefits (inclusive of tax credits but after hold-harmless payments are made) are estimated to reach \$28.6 million over the life of the agreement. While legislative changes to ASATR funding could increase the hold-harmless amount owed in the initial limitation year, there would still be a substantial tax benefit to Green Pastures under the value limitation agreement for the remaining years that the limitation is in effect.

Facilities Funding Impact

The Green Pastures project remains fully taxable for debt services taxes, although SISD does not currently levy an 1&S tax. While the value of the Green Pastures project is expected to depreciate over the life of the agreement and beyond, full access to the additional value substantially enhances the 1&S tax base of SISD.

The Green Pastures project is not expected to affect SISD in terms of enrollment. Eight full-time positions are expected once the project begins operations. Continued expansion of the project and related development could result in additional employment in the area and an increase in the school-age population, but this project is unlikely to have much impact on a stand-alone basis.

Conclusion

The proposed Green Pastures renewable energy electric generation project enhances the tax base of SISD. It reflects continued capital investment in keeping with the goals of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code.

Under the assumptions outlined above, the potential tax savings for the applicant under a Chapter 313 agreement could reach an estimated \$28.6 million. (This amount is net of any anticipated revenue losses for the District.) The additional taxable value also enhances the tax base of SISD in meeting its future debt service obligations, should the District decide to pursue a future bond issue.



Table 1 - Base District Information with Green Pastures Wind I Project Value and Limitation Values

Year of Agreement	School Year	ADA	WADA	M&O Tax Rate	I&S Tax Rate	CAD Value with Project	CAD Value with Limitation	CPTD with Project	CPTD With Limitation	CPTD Value with Project per WADA	CPTD Value with Limitation per WADA
Pre-Year 1	2013-14	545.20	1,018.39	\$1.0400	\$0.0000	\$159,525,580	\$159,525,580	\$155,332,503	\$155,332,503	\$152.528	\$152,528
1	2014-15	545.20	1,018.27	\$1.0400	\$0.0000	\$179,025,580	\$179,025,580	\$155,332,503	\$155,332,503	\$152.545	\$152,545
2	2015-16	545.20	1,018.27	\$1.0400	\$0.0000	\$533,925.580	\$533,925,580	\$174,832,503	\$174,832,503	\$17,1,695	\$17,1,695
3	2016-17	545.20	1,018.27	\$1.0400	\$0.0000	\$518,949,580	\$169,525,580	\$529,732,503	\$529,732,503	\$520.227	\$520.227
4	2017-18	545,20	1,018.27	\$1.0400	\$0.0000	\$504,572,620	\$169,525,580	\$514,756,503	\$165,332,503	\$505,519	\$162,366
5	2018-19	545.20	1,018.27	\$1.0400	\$0.0000	\$490,770,738	\$169,525,580	\$500,379,543	\$165,332,503	\$491,401	\$162,366
6	2019-20	545.20	1,018.27	\$1.0400	\$0.0000	\$477,520,932	\$169,525,580	\$486,577,661	\$165,332,503	\$477,846	\$162,366
7	2020-21	545.20	1,018.27	\$1.0400	\$0.0000	\$464,801,118	\$169,525,580	\$473,327,855	\$165,332,503	\$464.834	\$162,366
8	2021-22	545.20	1,018.27	\$1.0400	\$0.0000	\$452,590,096	\$169,525,580	\$460,608,041	\$165,332,503	\$452.343	\$162,366
9	2022-23	545.20	1,018.27	\$1.0400	\$0.0000	\$440,867,516	\$169,525,580	\$448,397,019	\$165,332,503	\$440.351	\$162,366
10	2023-24	545.20	1,018.27	\$1.0400	\$0.0000	\$429,613,838	\$169,525,580	\$436,674,439	\$165,332,503	\$428,839	\$162,366
11	2024-25	545.20	1,018.27	\$1.0400	\$0.0000	\$418,810,308	\$418,810,308	\$425,420,761	\$165,332,503	\$417.787	\$162,366
12	2025-26	545.20	1,018.27	\$1.0400	\$0.0000	\$408,438,919	\$408,438,919	\$414,617,231	\$414,617,231	\$407,177	\$407,177
13	2026-27	545.20	1,018 27	\$1.0400	\$0.0000	\$398,482,385	\$398,482,385	\$404,245,842	\$404,245,842	\$396,992	\$396,992
14	2027-28	545.20	1,018.27	\$1.0400	\$0.0000	\$388,924,113	\$388,924,113	\$394,289,308	\$394,289,308	\$387,214	\$387,214
15	2028-29	545.20	1,018.27	\$1.0400	\$0,0000	\$379,748,172	\$379,748,172	\$384,731,036	\$384,731,036	\$377,827	\$377,827

Table 2- "Baseline Revenue Model"-Project Value Added with No Value Limitation*

Year of Agreement	School Year	M&O Taxes @ Compressed Rate	State Aid_	Additional State Ald- Hold Harmless	Excess Formula Reduction	Recapture Costs	Additional Local M&O Collections	State Aid From Additional M&O Tax Collections	Recapture from the Additional Local Tax Effort	Total General Fund
Pre-Year 1	2013-14	\$1,562,763	\$3,688,891	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$62,429	\$183,026	\$0	\$5,497,108
1	2014-15	\$1,753,872	\$3,781,955	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$70,064	\$214,058	\$0	\$5,819,949
2	2015-16	\$5,232,066	\$3,586,946	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$209,011	\$552,676	\$0	\$9,580,698
3	2016-17	\$5,155,183	\$182,792	\$0	\$0	-\$152,759	\$205,939	\$41,753	\$0	\$5,432,908
4	2017-18	\$5,011,406	\$187,536	\$0	\$0	-\$14,310	\$200,196	\$47,594	\$0	\$5,432,421
5	2018-19	\$4,873,380	\$331,312	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$194,682	\$53,206	\$0	\$5,452,580
6	2019-20	\$4,740,875	\$469,338	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$189,388	\$58,600	\$0	\$5,458,201
7	2020-21	\$4,613,671	\$601,843	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$184,307	\$63,783	\$0	\$5,463,604
8	2021-22	\$4,491,554	\$729,047	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$179,429	\$68,765	\$0	\$5,468,794
9	2022-23	\$4,374,323	\$851,164	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$174,745	\$73,553	\$0	\$5,473,784
10	2023-24	\$4,261,781	\$968,395	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$170,250	\$78,154	\$0	\$5,478,579
-11	2024-25	\$4,103,880	\$1,080,938	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$163,942	\$81,586	\$0	\$5,430,346
12	2025-26	\$4,002,235	\$1,188,978	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$159,881	\$85,805	\$0	\$5,436,899
13	2026-27	\$3,904,656	\$1,292,697	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$155,983	\$89,862	\$0	\$5,443,199
14	2027-28	\$3,810,981	\$1,392,288	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$152,241	\$93,766	\$0	\$5,449,255
15	2028-29	\$3,721,052	\$1,487,855	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$148,649	\$97,521	\$0	\$5,455,076

*Basic Allotment: \$5,040; AISD Yield: \$61.86; Equalized Wealth: \$504,000 per WADA



Table 3- "Value Limitation Revenue Model"--Project Value Added with Value Limit*

Year of Agreement	School Year	M&O Taxes @ Compressed Rate	State Aid	Additional State Aid- Hold Harmless	Excess Formula Reduction	Recapture Costs	Additional Local M&O Collections	State Aid From Additional M&O Tax Collections	Recapture from the Additional Local Tax Effort	Total General Fund
Pre-Year 1	2013-14	\$1,562,763	\$3,688,891	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$62,429	\$183,026	\$0	\$5,497,108
1	2014-15	\$1,753,872	\$3,781,955	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$70,064	\$214,058	\$0	\$5,819,949
2	2015-16	\$5,232,066	\$3,586,946	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$209,011	\$552,676	\$0	\$9,580,698
3	2016-17	\$1,660,768	\$182,792	\$3,055,053	\$0	-\$49,212	\$66,344	\$13,451	\$0	\$4,929,196
4	2017-18	\$1,660,768	\$3,681,950	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$66,344	\$189,323	\$0	\$5,598,385
5	2018-19	\$1,660,768	\$3,681,950	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$66,344	\$189,323	\$0	\$5,598,385
6	2019-20	\$1,660,768	\$3,681,950	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$66,344	\$189,323	\$0	\$5,598,385
7	2020-21	\$1,660,768	\$3,681,950	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$66,344	\$189,323	\$0	\$5,598,385
8	2021-22	\$1,660,768	\$3,681,950	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$66,344	\$189,323	\$0	\$5,598,385
9	2022-23	\$1,660,768	\$3,681,950	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$66,344	\$189,323	\$0	\$5,598,385
10	2023-24	\$1,660,768	\$3,681,950	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$66,344	\$189,323	\$0	\$5,598,385
11	2024-25	\$4,103,880	\$3,681,950	SO	\$0	\$0	\$163,942	\$467,832	\$0	\$8,417,603
12	2025-26	\$4,002,235	\$1,188,978	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$159,881	\$85,805	\$0	\$5,436,899
13	2026-27	\$3,904,656	\$1,292,697	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$155,983	\$89,862	\$0	\$5,443,199
14	2027-28	\$3,810,981	\$1,392,268	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$152,241	\$93,766	\$0	\$5,449,255
15	2028-29	\$3,721,052	\$1,487,855	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$148,649	\$97,521	\$0	\$5,455,076

*Basic Allotment: \$5,040; AISD Yield: \$61.86; Equalized Wealth: \$504,000 per WADA

Table 4 - Value Limit less Project Value with No Limit*

Year of Agreement	School Year	M&O Taxes @ Compressed Rate	State Aid	Additional State Aid- Hold Harmless	Excess Formula Reduction	Recapture Costs	Additional Local M&O Collections	State Aid From Additional M&O Tax Collections	Recapture from the Additional Local Tax Effort	Total General Fund
Pre-Year 1	2013-14	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	2014-15	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	2015-16	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	2016-17	-\$3,494,415	\$0	\$3,055,053	\$0	\$103,547	-\$139,595	-\$28,302	\$0	-\$503,712
4	2017-18	-\$3,350,638	\$3,494,414	\$0	\$0	\$14,310	-\$133,851	\$141,729	\$0	\$165,964
5	2018-19	-\$3,212,612	\$3,350,638	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$128,337	\$136,117	\$0	\$145,805
6	2019-20	-\$3,080,107	\$3,212,612	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$123,044	\$130,723	\$0	\$140,184
7	2020-21	-\$2,952,904	\$3,080,107	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$117,963	\$125,540	\$0	\$134,781
8	2021-22	-\$2,830,786	\$2,952,903	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$113,084	\$120,558	\$0	\$129,591
9	2022-23	-\$2,713,555	\$2,830,786	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$108,401	\$115,771	\$0	\$124,601
10	2023-24	-\$2,601,013	\$2,713,555	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$103,905	\$111,169	\$0	\$119,805
11	2024-25	\$0	\$2,601,012	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$386,246	\$0	\$2,987,258
12	2025-26	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
13	2026-27	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
14	2027-28	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
15	2028-29	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	SO	\$0	\$0	\$0

*Basic Allotment: \$5,040; AISD Yield: \$61.86; Equalized Wealth: \$504,000 per WADA



Table 5 - Estimated Financial impact of the Green Pastures Wind 1 Project Property Value Limitation Request Submitted to SISD at \$1.04 M&O Tax Rate

Year of Agreement	School Year	Project Value	Estimated Taxable Value	Value Savings	Assumed M&O Tax Rate	Taxes Before Value Limit	Taxes after Value Limit	Tax Savings @ Projected M&O Rate	Tax Credits for First Two Years Above Limit	Tax Benefit to Company Before Revenue Protection	School District Revenue Losses	Estimated Net Tax Benefits
Pre-Year 1	2013-14	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1.040	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1	2014-15	\$19,500,000	\$19,500,000	\$0	\$1.040	\$202,800	\$202,800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	2015-16	\$374,400,000	\$374,400,000	\$0	\$1.040	\$3,893,760	\$3,893,760	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	2016-17	\$359,424,000	\$10,000,000	\$349,424,000	\$1.040	\$3,738,010	\$104,000	\$3,634,010	\$0	\$3,634,010	-\$503,712	\$3,130,297
4	2017-18	\$345,047,040	\$10,000,000	\$335,047,040	\$1.040	\$3,588,489	\$104,000	\$3,484,489	\$52,000	\$3,536,489	\$0	\$3,536,489
5	2018-19	\$331,245,158	\$10,000,000	\$321,245,158	\$1.040	\$3,444,950	\$104,000	\$3,340,950	\$52,000	\$3,392,950	\$0	\$3,392,950
6	2019-20	\$317,995,352	\$10,000,000	\$307,995,352	\$1.040	\$3,307,152	\$104,000	\$3,203,152	\$52,000	\$3,255,152	\$0	\$3,255,152
7	2020-21	\$305,275,538	\$10,000,000	\$295,275,538	\$1.040	\$3,174,866	\$104,000	\$3,070,866	\$52,000	\$3,122,866	\$0	\$3,122,866
8	2021-22	\$293,064,516	\$10,000,000	\$283,064,516	\$1.040	\$3,047,871	\$104,000	\$2,943,871	\$52,000	\$2,995,871	\$0	\$2,995,871
9	2022-23	\$281,341,936	\$10,000,000	\$271,341,936	\$1.040	\$2,925,956	\$104,000	\$2.821,956	\$52,000	\$2,873,956	\$0	\$2,873,956
10	2023-24	\$270,088,258	\$10,000,000	\$260,088,258	\$1.040	\$2,808,918	\$104,000	\$2,704,918	\$52,000	\$2,756,918	\$0	\$2,756,918
11	2024-25	\$259,284,728	\$259,284,728	\$0	\$1.040	\$2,696,561	\$2,696,561	\$0	\$2,696,561	\$2,696,561	\$0	\$2,696,561
12	2025-26	\$248,913,339	\$248,913,339	\$0	\$1.040	\$2,588,699	\$2,588,699	\$0	\$827,999	\$827,999	\$0	\$827,999
13	2026-27	\$238,956,805	\$238,956,805	\$0	\$1.040	\$2,485,151	\$2,485,151	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
14	2027-28	\$229,398,533	\$229,398,533	\$0	\$1.040	\$2,385,745	\$2,385,745	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
15	2028-29	\$220,222,592	\$220,222.592	\$0	\$1.040	\$2,290,315	\$2,290,315	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
						\$42,579,241	\$17,375,030	\$25,204,211	\$3,888,560	\$29,092,771	-\$503,712	\$28,589,058
				Tax Credit for Value Over Limit in First 2 Years			Year 1	Year 2	Max Credits			
								\$98,800	\$3,789,760	\$3,888,560	•	
								Credits Earne	d	\$3,888,560		
								Credits Paid		\$3,888,560		
								Excess Credit	e I Innaid	\$0		

^{*}Note: School District Revenue-Loss estimates are subject to change based on numerous factors, including legislative and Texas Education Agency administrative changes to school finance formulas, year-to-year appraisals of project values, and changes in school district tax rates. One of the most substantial changes to the school finance formulas related to Chapter 313 revenue-loss projections could be the treatment of Additional State Aid for Tax Reduction (ASATR). Legislative intent is to end ASATR in 2017-18 school year. Additional information on the assumptions used in preparing these estimates is provided in the narrative of this Report.

Attachment 3

Baylor County

Population

- Total county population in 2010 for Baylor County: 3,651, down 0.2 percent from 2009. State population increased 1.8 percent in the same time period.
- Baylor County was the state's 214th largest county in population in 2010 and the 205 th fastest growing county from 2009 to 2010.
- Baylor County's population in 2009 was 81.7 percent Anglo (above the state average of 46.7 percent), 3.9 percent African-American (below the state average of 11.3 percent) and 11.7 percent Hispanic (below the state average of 36.9 percent).
- 2009 population of the largest cities and places in Baylor County:

Seymour:

2.571

Economy and Income

Employment

- September 2011 total employment in Baylor County: 1,691, down 2.1 percent from September 2010. State total employment increased 0.9 percent during the same period.
 - (October 2011 employment data will be available November 18, 2011).
- September 2011 Baylor County unemployment rate: 7.3 percent, up from 6.3 percent in September 2010. The statewide unemployment rate for September 2011 was 8.5 percent, up from 8.2 percent in September 2010.
- September 2011 unemployment rate in the city of:

(Note: County and state unemployment rates are adjusted for seasonal fluctuations, but the Texas Workforce Commission city unemployment rates are not. Seasonally-adjusted unemployment rates are not comparable with unadjusted rates).

Income

Baylor County's ranking in per capita personal income in 2009: 126th with an average per capita income of \$32,494, down 4.6 percent from 2008. Statewide average per capita personal income was \$38,609 in 2009, down 3.1 percent from 2008.

Industry

- Agricultural cash values in Baylor County averaged \$53.04 million annually from 2007 to 2010. County total agricultural values in 2010 were up 7.8 percent from 2009. Major agriculture related commodities in Baylor County during 2010 included:
 - Wheat
- Sesame
- Sorghum
- Hunting
- Other Beef
- 2011 oil and gas production in Baylor County: 59,582.0 barrels of oil and 0.0 Mcf of gas. In September 2011, there were 135 producing oil wells and 0 producing gas wells.

Taxes

Sales Tax - Taxable Sales

(County and city taxable sales data for 1st quarter 2011 is currently targeted for release in mid-September 2011).

Quarterly (September 2010 through December 2010)

- Taxable sales in Baylor County during the fourth quarter 2010: \$4.00 million, up 4.6 percent from the same quarter in 2009.
- Taxable sales during the fourth quarter 2010 in the city of:

Seymour:

\$3.50 million, up 1.5 percent from the same quarter in 2009.

Taxable Sales through the end of 4th quarter 2010 (January 2010 through December 30, 2010)

- Taxable sales in Baylor County through the fourth quarter of 2010: \$14.76 million, down 0.4 percent from the same period in 2009.
- Taxable sales through the fourth quarter of 2010 in the city of:

Seymour:

\$13.32 million, up 0.6 percent from the same period in 2009.

Annual (2010)

- Taxable sales in Baylor County during 2010: \$14.76 million, down 0.4 percent from 2009.
- Baylor County sent an estimated \$922,586.63 (or 0.01 percent of Texas' taxable sales) in state sales taxes to the state treasury in 2010.
- Taxable sales during 2010 in the city of:

Sevmour:

\$13.32 million, up 0.6 percent from 2009.

Sales Tax – Local Sales Tax Allocations

(The release date for sales tax allocations to cities for the sales activity month of September 2011 is currently scheduled for November 9, 2011.)

Page 1 of 3

Baylor County

Monthly

- Statewide payments based on the sales activity month of August 2011: \$505.22 million, up 13.9 percent from August 2010.
- Payments to all cities in Baylor County based on the sales activity month of August 2011: \$13,695.24, up 7.1 percent from August 2010.
- Payment based on the sales activity month of August 2011 to the city of:

Seymour:

\$13,695.24, up 7.1 percent from August 2010.

Fiscal Year

- Statewide payments based on sales activity months from September 2010 through August 2011: \$6.08 billion, up 8.0 percent from the same period in 2010.
- Payments to all cities in Baylor County based on sales activity months from September 2010 through August 2011: \$195,417.64, up 13.4 percent from fiscal 2010.
- Payments based on sales activity months from September 2010 through August 2011 to the city of:

Seymour:

\$195,417.64, up 13.4 percent from fiscal 2010.

January 2011 through August 2011 (Sales Activity Year-To-Date)

- Statewide payments based on sales activity months through August 2011: \$3.99 billion, up 8.3 percent from the same period in 2010.
- Payments to all cities in Baylor County based on sales activity months through August 2011: \$118,378.10, up 4.2 percent from the same period in 2010.
- Payments based on sales activity months through August 2011 to the city of:

Seymour:

\$118,378.10, up 4.2 percent from the same period in 2010.

12 months ending in August 2011

- Statewide payments based on sales activity in the 12 months ending in August 2011: \$6.08 billion, up 8.0 percent from the previous 12-month period.
- Payments to all cities in Baylor County based on sales activity in the 12 months ending in August 2011: \$195,417.64, up 13.4 percent from the previous 12-month period.
- Payments based on sales activity in the 12 months ending in August 2011 to the city of:

Seymour:

\$195,417.64, up 13.4 percent from the previous 12-month period.

- **■** City Calendar Year-To-Date (RJ 2011)
- Payment to the cities from January 2011 through October 2011:

Seymour:

\$156,174.61, up 7.6 percent from the same period in 2010.

Annual (2010)

- Statewide payments based on sales activity months in 2010: \$5.77 billion, up 3.3 percent from 2009.
- Payments to all cities in Baylor County based on sales activity months in 2010: \$190,637.34, up 14.5 percent from 2009.
- Payment based on sales activity months in 2010 to the city of:

Seymour:

\$190,637.34, up 14.5 percent from 2009.

Property Tax

As of January 2009, property values in Baylor County: \$644.23 million, up 4.5 percent from January 2008 values. The property tax base per person in Baylor County is \$175,205, above the statewide average of \$85,809. About 6.9 percent of the property tax base is derived from oil, gas and minerals.

State Expenditures

- Baylor County's ranking in state expenditures by county in fiscal year 2010: 204th. State expenditures in the county for FY2010: \$17.45 million, down 0.5 percent from FY2009.
- 🖿 In Baylor County, 8 state agencies provide a total of 40 jobs and \$309,603.00 in annualized wages (as of 1st quarter 2011).
- Major state agencies in the county (as of first quarter 2011):
 - Health & Human Services Commission
- Department of Transportation
- Department of Family and Protective Services
- Department of Public Safety

Department of Aging and Disability Services

Higher Education

- Community colleges in Baylor County fall 2010 enrollment:
 - · None.
- Baylor County is in the service area of the following:
 - Vemon College with a fall 2010 enrollment of 3,167. Counties in the service area include:

Archer County

Baylor County

Clay County

Cottle County

Foard County

Hardeman County

Haskell County

King County

Knox County

Throckmorton County

Wichita County

Wilbarger County

- Institutions of higher education in Baylor County fall 2010 enrollment:
 - None.

School Districts

■ Baylor County had 1 school districts with 3 schools and 580 students in the 2009-10 school year.

(Statewide, the average teacher salary in school year 2009-10 was \$48,263. The percentage of students, statewide, meeting the 2010 TAKS passing standard for all 2009-10 TAKS tests was 77 percent.)

Seymour ISD had 580 students in the 2009-10 school year. The average teacher salary was \$42,890. The
percentage of students meeting the 2010 TAKS passing standard for all tests was 89 percent.

Knox County

Population

- Total county population in 2010 for Knox County: 3,390, up 1.6 percent from 2009. State population increased 1.8 percent in the same time period.
- Knox County was the state's 217nd largest county in population in 2010 and the 52nd fastest growing county from 2009 to 2010.
- Knox County's population in 2009 was 62.3 percent Anglo (above the state average of 46.7 percent), 8.8 percent African-American (below the state average of 11.3 percent) and 26.8 percent Hispanic (below the state average of 36.9 percent).
- 2009 population of the largest cities and places in Knox County:

Munday:

1.187

Knox City:

953

Goree:

252

Benjamin:

209

Economy and Income

Employment

- September 2011 total employment in Knox County: 1,678, down 2.9 percent from September 2010. State total employment increased 0.9 percent during the same period.
 - (October 2011 employment data will be available November 18, 2011).
- September 2011 Knox County unemployment rate: 6.1 percent, up from 5.9 percent in September 2010. The statewide unemployment rate for September 2011 was 8.5 percent, up from 8.2 percent in September 2010.
- September 2011 unemployment rate in the city of:

(Note: County and state unemployment rates are adjusted for seasonal fluctuations, but the Texas Workforce Commission city unemployment rates are not. Seasonally-adjusted unemployment rates are not comparable with unadjusted rates).

Income

Knox County's ranking in per capita personal income in 2009: 131st with an average per capita income of \$32,117, down 8.2 percent from 2008. Statewide average per capita personal income was \$38,609 in 2009, down 3.1 percent from 2008.

Industry

- Agricultural cash values in Knox County averaged \$64.54 million annually from 2007 to 2010. County total agricultural values in 2010 were down 12.9 percent from 2009. Major agriculture related commodities in Knox County during 2010 included:
 - Recreation
- Hunting
- Wheat

- Other Beef
- 2011 oil and gas production in Knox County: 116,381.0 barrels of oil and 30.0 Mcf of gas. In September 2011, there were 223 producing oil wells and 0 producing gas wells.

Taxes

Sales Tax - Taxable Sales

(County and city taxable sales data for 1st quarter 2011 is currently targeted for release in mid-September 2011).

Quarterly (September 2010 through December 2010)

- Taxable sales in Knox County during the fourth quarter 2010: \$5.99 million, up 21.0 percent from the same quarter in 2009.
- Taxable sales during the fourth quarter 2010 in the city of:

Munday:

\$1.50 million, up 8.3 percent from the same quarter in 2009.

Knox City:

\$2.76 million, up 25.2 percent from the same quarter in 2009.

Goree:

\$193,983.00, up 2.2 percent from the same guarter in 2009.

Benjamin:

\$140,294.00, down 3.1 percent from the same quarter in 2009.

Taxable Sales through the end of 4th quarter 2010 (January 2010 through December 30, 2010)

- Taxable sales in Knox County through the fourth quarter of 2010: \$23.09 million, up 18.3 percent from the same period in 2009.
- Taxable sales through the fourth quarter of 2010 in the city of:

Munday:

\$5.65 million, up 5.9 percent from the same period in 2009.

Knox City:

\$10.92 million, up 30.1 percent from the same period in 2009.

Goree:

\$812,797.00, up 4.0 percent from the same period in 2009.

Benjamin:

\$541,844.00, down 3.1 percent from the same period in 2009.

Annual (2010)

- Taxable sales in Knox County during 2010: \$23.09 million, up 18.3 percent from 2009.
- Knox County sent an estimated \$1.44 million (or 0.01 percent of Texas' taxable sales) in state sales taxes to the state treasury in 2010.
- Taxable sales during 2010 in the city of:

Page 1 of 3

Knox County

Munday:

\$5.65 million, up 5.9 percent from 2009.

Knox City:

\$10.92 million, up 30.1 percent from 2009.

Goree:

\$812,797.00, up 4.0 percent from 2009.

Benjamin:

\$541,844.00, down 3.1 percent from 2009.

Sales Tax - Local Sales Tax Allocations

(The release date for sales tax allocations to cities for the sales activity month of September 2011 is currently scheduled for November 9, 2011.)

Monthly

- Statewide payments based on the sales activity month of August 2011: \$505.22 million, up 13.9 percent from August 2010.
- Payments to all cities in Knox County based on the sales activity month of August 2011: \$43,777.76, up 14.3 percent from August 2010.
- Payment based on the sales activity month of August 2011 to the city of:

Munday:

\$13,824.09, up 10.2 percent from August 2010.

Knox City:

\$26,806.36, up 18.1 percent from August 2010.

Goree:

\$1,975.56, up 11.4 percent from August 2010.

Benjamin:

\$1,171.75, down 9.9 percent from August 2010.

Fiscal Year

- Statewide payments based on sales activity months from September 2010 through August 2011: \$6.08 billion, up 8.0 percent from the same period in 2010.
- Payments to all cities in Knox County based on sales activity months from September 2010 through August 2011: \$464,001.36, up
 5.5 percent from fiscal 2010.
- Payments based on sales activity months from September 2010 through August 2011 to the city of:

Munday:

\$170,003.05, up 7.1 percent from fiscal 2010.

Knox City:

\$255,539.30, up 4.0 percent from fiscal 2010. \$22,346.32, up 12.7 percent from fiscal 2010.

Goree: Benjamin:

\$16,112.69, up 4.7 percent from fiscal 2010.

January 2011 through August 2011 (Sales Activity Year-To-Date)

- Statewide payments based on sales activity months through August 2011: \$3.99 billion, up 8.3 percent from the same period in 2010.
- Payments to all cities in Knox County based on sales activity months through August 2011: \$304,477.12, up 2.5 percent from the same period in 2010.
- Payments based on sales activity months through August 2011 to the city of:

Munday:

\$111,789.37, up 5.1 percent from the same period in 2010.

Knox City:

\$168,833.59, unchanged 0.0 percent from the same period in 2010.

Goree:

\$13,597.62, up 10.4 percent from the same period in 2010.

Benjamin:

\$10,256.54, up 6.4 percent from the same period in 2010.

12 months ending in August 2011

- Statewide payments based on sales activity in the 12 months ending in August 2011: \$6.08 billion, up 8.0 percent from the previous 12-month period.
- Payments to all cities in Knox County based on sales activity in the 12 months ending in August 2011: \$464,001.36, up 5.5 percent from the previous 12-month period.
- Payments based on sales activity in the 12 months ending in August 2011 to the city of:

Munday:

\$170,003.05, up 7.1 percent from the previous 12-month period.

Knox City:

\$255,539.30, up 4.0 percent from the previous 12-month period.

Goree:

\$22,346.32, up 12.7 percent from the previous 12-month period.

Benjamin:

\$16,112.69, up 4.7 percent from the previous 12-month period.

- City Calendar Year-To-Date (RJ 2011)
- Payment to the cities from January 2011 through October 2011:

Munday:

\$141,817.43, up 5.9 percent from the same period in 2010.

Knox City:

\$213,474.22, up 3.6 percent from the same period in 2010.

Goree:

\$17,283.40, up 12.1 percent from the same period in 2010.

Benjamin:

\$13,048.76, up 6.1 percent from the same period in 2010.

Annual (2010)

Statewide payments based on sales activity months in 2010: \$5.77 billion, up 3.3 percent from 2009.

- Payments to all cities in Knox County based on sales activity months in 2010: \$456,644.38, up 16.6 percent from 2009.
- Payment based on sales activity months in 2010 to the city of:

Munday:

\$164,627.36, up 11.1 percent from 2009.

Knox City:

\$255,460.25, up 23.3 percent from 2009.

Goree:

\$21,062.25, down 2.6 percent from 2009.

Benjamin:

\$15,494.52, up 6.7 percent from 2009.

Property Tax

As of January 2009, property values in Knox County: \$419.98 million, up 2.8 percent from January 2008 values. The property tax base per person in Knox County is \$126,423, above the statewide average of \$85,809. About 16.8 percent of the property tax base is derived from oil, gas and minerals.

State Expenditures

- Knox County's ranking in state expenditures by county in fiscal year 2010: 208th. State expenditures in the county for FY2010: \$16.50 million, down 0.1 percent from FY2009.
- In Knox County, 5 state agencies provide a total of 26 jobs and \$273,146.00 in annualized wages (as of 1st quarter 2011).
- Major state agencies in the county (as of first quarter 2011):
 - Department of Transportation

Health & Human Services Commission

Department of Public Safety

AgriLife Extension Service

Higher Education

- Community colleges in Knox County fall 2010 enrollment:
 - · None.
- Knox County is in the service area of the following:
 - Vernon College with a fall 2010 enrollment of 3,167. Counties in the service area include:

Archer County

Baylor County

Clay County

Cottle County

Foard County

Hardeman County

Haskell County

King County

Knox County

Throckmorton County

Wichita County

Wilbarger County

- Institutions of higher education in Knox County fall 2010 enrollment:
 - None.

School Districts

Knox County had 3 school districts with 6 schools and 761 students in the 2009-10 school year.

(Statewide, the average teacher salary in school year 2009-10 was \$48,263. The percentage of students, statewide, meeting the 2010 TAKS passing standard for all 2009-10 TAKS tests was 77 percent.)

- Benjamin ISD had 86 students in the 2009-10 school year. The average teacher salary was \$36,450. The
 percentage of students meeting the 2010 TAKS passing standard for all tests was 79 percent.
- Knox City-O'Brien ISD had 297 students in the 2009-10 school year. The average teacher salary was \$40,254.
 The percentage of students meeting the 2010 TAKS passing standard for all tests was 68 percent.
- Munday ISD had 378 students in the 2009-10 school year. The average teacher salary was \$39,474. The
 percentage of students meeting the 2010 TAKS passing standard for all tests was 77 percent.