

**SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED WIND
TEX ENERGY - STEPHENS, LLC PROJECT ON THE FINANCES OF
THE O'DONNELL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT UNDER A
REQUESTED CHAPTER 313 PROPERTY VALUE LIMITATION**

August 30, 2011

Final Report

PREPARED BY



Estimated Impact of the Proposed Wind Tex Energy - Stephens, LLC Project on the Finances of the O'Donnell Independent School District under a Requested Chapter 313 Property Value Limitation

Introduction

Wind Tex Energy - Stephens, LLC (Wind Tex Energy) has requested that the O'Donnell Independent School District (O'DISD) consider granting a property value limitation under Chapter 313 of the Tax Code for a new renewable electric wind generation project. An application was submitted to O'DISD on August 16, 2011. Wind Tex Energy proposes to invest \$224.97 million to construct a new wind energy project in O'DISD.

The Wind Tex Energy project is consistent with the state's goal to "encourage large scale capital investments in this state." When enacted as House Bill 1200 in 2001, the original language in Chapter 313 of the Tax Code made companies engaged in manufacturing, research and development, and renewable electric energy production eligible to apply to school districts for property value limitations. Subsequent legislative changes expanded eligibility to clean coal projects, nuclear power generation and data centers, among others.

School Finance Mechanics

Under the provisions of Chapter 313, O'DISD may offer a minimum value limitation of \$30 million. Based on the application, the qualifying time period would begin with the 2012-13 school year. The full taxable value of the investment is projected to reach \$202 million in 2014-15, with depreciation expected to reduce the taxable value of the project over the course of the value limitation agreement.

The provisions of Chapter 313 call for the project to be fully taxable in the 2012-13 and 2013-14 school years, unless the District and the Company agree to an extension of the start of the qualifying time period. For the purpose of this analysis, it is assumed that the qualifying time period will be the 2012-13 and 2013-14 school years. Beginning in 2014-15, the project would go on the local tax roll at \$30 million and remain at that level of taxable value for eight years for maintenance and operations taxes. O'DISD has no I&S tax rate beginning with the 2011-12 school year.

Under the current school finance system, the property values established by the Comptroller's Office that are used to calculate state aid and recapture lag by one year, a practical consequence of the fact that the Comptroller's Office needs this time to conduct their property value study and now the planned audits of appraisal district operations in alternating years. A taxpayer receiving a value limitation pays M&O taxes on the reduced value for the project in years 3-10 and receives a tax bill for I&S taxes—in districts where they are levied—based on the full project value throughout the qualifying and value limitation periods (and thereafter). The school funding formulas use the Comptroller's property values that reflect a reduction due to the property value limitation in years 4-11 as a result of the one-year lag in property values.

For the school finance system that operated prior to the approval of House Bill 1 (HB 1) in the 2006 special session, the third year was generally problematical for a school district that approved a Chapter 313 value limitation. This typically resulted in a revenue loss to the school district in the third year of the agreement that would not be reimbursed by the state, but require some type of compensation from the applicant in the revenue protection provisions of the agreement. In years 4-10, smaller revenue losses would be anticipated when the state property values are aligned at the minimum value established by the Board on both the local tax roll and the corresponding state property value study, assuming a similar deduction is made in the state property values.

Under the HB 1 system, most school districts received additional state aid for tax reduction (ASATR) that was used to maintain their target revenue amounts established at the revenue levels under old law for the 2005-06 or 2006-07 school years, whichever was highest. In terms of new Chapter 313 property value limitation agreements, adjustments to ASATR funding often moderated the impact of the reduced M&O collections as a result of the limitation, in contrast with the earlier formula-driven finance system.

In the case of HB 3646—the school finance system changes approved by the Legislature in 2009—the starting point was the target revenue provisions from HB 1, that were then expanded through the addition of a series of school funding provisions that had operated previously outside the basic allotment and the traditional formula structure, as well as an additional \$120 per WADA guarantee.

Under the provisions of HB 3646, school districts did have the potential to earn revenue above the \$120 per WADA level, up to a maximum of \$350 per WADA above current law. Initial estimates indicate that about 70 percent of all school districts were funded at the minimum \$120 per WADA level, while approximately 30 percent school districts were expected to generate higher revenue amounts per WADA in the 2009-10 school year. This is significant because changes in property values and related tax collections under a Chapter 313 agreement once again have the potential to affect a school district's base revenue, although probably not to the degree experienced prior to the HB 1 target revenue system.

The formula reductions enacted under Senate Bill 1 (SB 1) as approved in the First Called Session in 2011 are designed to make \$4 billion in reductions to the existing school funding formulas for the 2011-12 and 2012-13 school years. For the 2011-12 school year, across-the-board reductions were made that reduced each district's WADA count and resulted in an estimated 797 school districts still receiving ASATR to maintain their target revenue funding levels, while an estimated 227 districts operating directly on the state formulas.

For the 2012-13 school year, the SB 1 changes called for smaller across-the-board reductions and funding ASATR-receiving target revenue districts at 92.35 percent of the level provided for under the existing funding formula. For the 2013-14 school year and beyond, the ASATR reduction percentage will be set in the appropriations bill. The recent legislative session also saw the adoption of a statement of legislative intent to no longer fund target revenue (through ASATR) by the 2017-18 school year.

One key element in any analysis of the school finance implications is the provision for revenue protection in the agreement between the school district and the applicant. In the case of the Wind Tex Energy project, the agreement calls for a calculation of the revenue impact of the value limitation in years 3-10 of the agreement, under whatever school finance and property tax laws

are in effect in each of those years. This meets the statutory requirement under Section 313.027(f) (1) of the Tax Code to provide school district revenue protection language in the agreement.

Underlying Assumptions

There are several approaches that can be used to analyze the future revenue stream of a school district under a value limitation. Whatever method is used, a reasonable analysis requires the use of a multi-year forecasting model that covers the years in which the agreement is in effect. The Chapter 313 application now requires 15 years of data and analysis on the project being considered for a property value limitation.

The approach used here is to maintain static enrollment and property values in order to isolate the effects of the value limitation under the school finance system. The current SB 1 reductions are reflected in the underlying models. With regard to ASATR funding the 92.35 percent reduction enacted for the 2012-13 school year and thereafter, future changes are dependent on legislative action that is difficult to forecast. While there is a statement of intent to no longer fund target revenue by the 2017-18 school year, implementing this change will require future legislative action, with any changes coming through the appropriations process, statutory changes, or both.

Student enrollment counts are held constant at 296 students in average daily attendance (ADA) in analyzing the effects of the Wind Tex Energy project on the finances of O'DISD. The District's local tax base reached \$96.9 million for the 2011 tax year. While the district's tax base has decreased in 2011 from the prior year, the underlying \$96.9 million taxable value for 2011-12 is maintained for the forecast period in order to isolate the effects of the property value limitation. O'DISD is not a property-wealthy district in terms of its underlying tax base, with wealth per weighted ADA or WADA of approximately \$184,267 for the 2011-12 school year. These assumptions are summarized in Table 1 for the forecast period.

School Finance Impact

A baseline model was prepared for O'DISD under the assumptions outlined above through the 2025-26 school year. Beyond the 2010-11 school year, no attempt was made to forecast the 88th percentile or Austin yield that influences future state funding. In the analyses for other districts and applicants on earlier projects, these changes appeared to have little impact on the revenue associated with the implementation of the property value limitation, since the baseline and other models incorporate the same underlying assumptions.

Under the proposed agreement, a second model is established to make a calculation of the "Baseline Revenue" by adding the value of the proposed Wind Tex Energy facility to the model, but without assuming that a value limitation is approved. The results of the model are shown in Table 2.

A third model is developed which adds the Wind Tex Energy value but imposes the proposed property value limitation effective in the third year, which in this case is the 2014-15 school year. The results of this model are identified as "Value Limitation Revenue Model" under the revenue protection provisions of the proposed agreement (see Table 3). An M&O tax rate of \$1.17 per \$100 is used throughout this analysis.

A summary of the differences between these models is shown in Table 4. The model results show approximately \$3.4 million a year in net General Fund revenue, after recapture and other adjustments have been made.

Under these assumptions, O'DISD would experience a revenue loss as a result of the implementation of the value limitation in the 2014-15 school year (-\$297,016). The revenue reduction results from the mechanics of six cents not subject to recapture, which reflect the one-year lag in value associated with the property value study.

As noted previously, no attempt was made to forecast further reductions in ASATR funding beyond the 92.35 percent adjustment adopted for the 2012-13 school year. One risk factor under the estimates presented here relates to the implementation of the value limitation in the 2014-15 school year. The formula loss of \$297,016 cited above between the base and the limitation models is based on an assumption of \$1,923,331 in M&O tax savings for Wind Tex Energy when the \$30 million limitation is implemented. Under the estimates presented here and as highlighted in Table 4, a \$104,894 reduction in recapture costs is expected to offset a portion of this reduction in M&O tax collections. In addition, a \$1,429,463 increase in ASATR funding is calculated under the assumptions used here.

Given that the ASATR amount falls below the anticipated tax savings for the project in the first year of implementation of the agreement, there is no financial risk to the school district as a result of the adoption of the value limitation agreement in response to future legislative changes in ASATR funding. But significant or complete elimination of ASATR funding could reduce the residual tax savings in the first year that the \$30 million value limitation takes effect. The estimates for the 2015-16 school year and thereafter show the offset coming almost entirely from an increase in state aid that would be owed to O'DISD.

On August 9, 2011, the Comptroller's Property Tax Assistance Division announced at a meeting of the Property Tax Advisory Committee that it would be adopting a rule this fall that would implement the use of two values for school districts for its 2011 state property value study. These are the state values that will be used to calculate state aid and recapture in the 2012-13 school year. This change has been made in the models presented here. Given the fact that O'DISD does not currently levy an I&S tax, the change in the Comptroller's property value study will not have an impact that is inconsistent with current law.

Impact on the Taxpayer

Table 5 summarizes the impact of the proposed property value limitation in terms of the potential tax savings under the property value limitation agreement. The focus of this table is on the M&O tax rate only. As noted previously, the property is fully taxable in the first two years under the agreement. A \$1.17 per \$100 of taxable value M&O rate is assumed in 2011-12 and thereafter.

Under the assumptions used here, the potential tax savings from the value limitation total \$12.7 million over the life of the agreement. In addition, Wind Tex Energy would be eligible for a tax credit for taxes paid on value in excess of the value limitation in each of the first two years. The credit amount is paid out slowly through years 4-10 due to statutory limits on the scale of these payments over these seven years, with catch-up payments permitted in years 11-13. The tax credits are expected to total approximately \$2.0 million over the life of the agreement, with no unpaid tax credits anticipated.

The key O'DISD revenue losses are associated with the interaction of the reduction in local M&O taxes the first year the value limitation tax effect and state funding formulas. The net reduction in formula funding is expected to total approximately \$297,016 in the third year of the agreement, when the \$30 million value limitation takes effect. It is also anticipated that the District will be reimbursed in full by the state for the tax credit payments. In total, the potential net tax benefits are estimated to total \$14.4 million over the life of the agreement. While legislative changes to ASATR funding could increase the hold-harmless amount owed in the 2014-15 school year, there would still be a substantial tax benefit to Wind Tex Energy under the value limitation agreement for the remaining years that the limitation is in effect.

Facilities Funding Impact

The Wind Tex Energy project remains fully taxable for debt services taxes; however, O'DISD is not levying an I&S rate beginning in the 2011-12 school year. The value of the Wind Tex Energy project is expected to depreciate over the life of the agreement and beyond, but full access to the additional value will add to the District's projected wealth per ADA. At its peak taxable value, the project adds 209 percent to O'DISD's current tax base, which should assist the District in meeting any future debt service needs.

The Wind Tex Energy project is not expected to affect O'DISD in terms of enrollment. Continued expansion of the renewable energy industry could result in additional employment in the area and an increase in the school-age population, but this project is unlikely to have much impact on a stand-alone basis.

Conclusion

The proposed Wind Tex Energy wind energy project enhances the tax base of O'DISD. It reflects continued capital investment in renewable electric energy generation, one of the goals of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code, also known as the Texas Economic Development Act.

Under the assumptions outlined above, the potential tax benefits under a Chapter 313 agreement could reach an estimated \$14.4 million over the course of the agreement. This amount is net of any anticipated revenue losses for the District. The additional taxable value also enhances the tax base of O'DISD in meeting any future debt service needs.

Table 1 – Base District Information with Wind Tex Energy - Stephens, LLC Project Value and Limitation Values

Year of Agreement	School Year	ADA	WADA	M&O Tax Rate	I&S Tax Rate	CAD Value with Project	CAD Value with Limitation	CPTD with Project	CPTD With Limitation	CPTD Value with Project per WADA	CPTD Value with Limitation per WADA
1	2012-13	295.93	581.89	\$1.1700	\$0.0000	\$103,443,644	\$103,443,644	\$95,131,448	\$95,131,448	\$163,487	\$163,487
2	2013-14	295.93	581.89	\$1.1700	\$0.0000	\$299,378,044	\$299,378,044	\$101,681,448	\$101,681,448	\$174,744	\$174,744
3	2014-15	295.93	581.89	\$1.1700	\$0.0000	\$291,280,894	\$126,893,644	\$297,615,848	\$297,615,848	\$511,466	\$511,466
4	2015-16	295.93	581.89	\$1.1700	\$0.0000	\$283,507,644	\$126,893,644	\$289,518,698	\$125,131,448	\$497,550	\$215,044
5	2016-17	295.93	581.89	\$1.1700	\$0.0000	\$276,045,144	\$126,893,644	\$281,745,448	\$125,131,448	\$484,192	\$215,044
6	2017-18	295.93	581.89	\$1.1700	\$0.0000	\$268,878,344	\$126,893,644	\$274,282,948	\$125,131,448	\$471,367	\$215,044
7	2018-19	295.93	581.89	\$1.1700	\$0.0000	\$258,561,244	\$126,893,644	\$267,116,148	\$125,131,448	\$459,051	\$215,044
8	2019-20	295.93	581.89	\$1.1700	\$0.0000	\$248,863,044	\$126,893,644	\$256,799,048	\$125,131,448	\$441,320	\$215,044
9	2020-21	295.93	581.89	\$1.1700	\$0.0000	\$239,746,744	\$126,893,644	\$247,100,848	\$125,131,448	\$424,653	\$215,044
10	2021-22	295.93	581.89	\$1.1700	\$0.0000	\$231,177,344	\$126,893,644	\$237,984,548	\$125,131,448	\$408,987	\$215,044
11	2022-23	295.93	581.89	\$1.1700	\$0.0000	\$223,121,944	\$223,121,944	\$229,415,148	\$125,131,448	\$394,260	\$215,044
12	2023-24	295.93	581.89	\$1.1700	\$0.0000	\$215,549,844	\$215,549,844	\$221,359,748	\$221,359,748	\$380,416	\$380,416
13	2024-25	295.93	581.89	\$1.1700	\$0.0000	\$208,432,044	\$208,432,044	\$213,787,648	\$213,787,648	\$367,403	\$367,403
14	2025-26	295.93	581.89	\$1.1700	\$0.0000	\$201,741,244	\$201,741,244	\$206,669,848	\$206,669,848	\$355,171	\$355,171
15	2026-27	295.93	581.89	\$1.1700	\$0.0000	\$195,451,844	\$195,451,844	\$199,979,048	\$199,979,048	\$343,673	\$343,673

*Tier II Yield: \$47.65; AISD Yield: \$59.97; Equalized Wealth: \$476,500 per WADA

Table 2-- “Baseline Revenue Model”--Project Value Added with No Value Limitation

Year of Agreement	School Year	M&O Taxes @ Compressed Rate	State Aid	Additional State Aid-Hold Harmless	Excess Formula Reduction	Recapture Costs	Additional Local M&O Collections	State Aid From Additional M&O Tax Collections	Recapture from the Additional Local Tax Effort	Total General Fund
1	2012-13	\$1,016,963	\$1,818,217	\$60,705	\$0	\$0	\$257,809	\$358,064	\$0	\$3,511,757
2	2013-14	\$2,809,199	\$1,757,081	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$712,157	\$879,505	\$0	\$6,157,942
3	2014-15	\$2,765,820	\$69,526	\$231,883	\$0	-\$171,344	\$701,160	\$30,672	-\$196,432	\$3,431,284
4	2015-16	\$2,693,266	\$100,599	\$198,229	\$0	-\$96,209	\$682,767	\$35,544	-\$182,375	\$3,431,820
5	2016-17	\$2,623,613	\$121,314	\$174,898	\$0	-\$23,940	\$665,109	\$40,234	-\$168,863	\$3,432,364
6	2017-18	\$2,556,720	\$146,053	\$193,111	\$0	\$0	\$648,151	\$44,746	-\$155,872	\$3,432,909
7	2018-19	\$2,460,422	\$212,946	\$222,517	\$0	\$0	\$623,738	\$48,460	-\$141,534	\$3,426,548
8	2019-20	\$2,369,901	\$309,244	\$216,740	\$0	\$0	\$600,791	\$54,673	-\$123,788	\$3,427,560
9	2020-21	\$2,284,811	\$399,765	\$211,308	\$0	\$0	\$579,220	\$60,543	-\$107,058	\$3,428,589
10	2021-22	\$2,204,827	\$484,855	\$206,203	\$0	\$0	\$558,943	\$66,091	-\$91,286	\$3,429,632
11	2022-23	\$2,111,675	\$564,840	\$219,370	\$0	\$0	\$535,328	\$70,733	-\$75,769	\$3,426,176
12	2023-24	\$2,042,412	\$640,027	\$213,445	\$0	\$0	\$517,769	\$75,680	-\$61,887	\$3,427,448
13	2024-25	\$1,977,305	\$710,704	\$207,876	\$0	\$0	\$501,264	\$80,365	-\$48,784	\$3,428,730
14	2025-26	\$1,916,103	\$777,140	\$202,641	\$0	\$0	\$485,749	\$84,802	-\$36,415	\$3,430,020
15	2026-27	\$1,858,573	\$839,591	\$197,720	\$0	\$0	\$471,165	\$89,005	-\$24,737	\$3,431,318

Table 3– “Value Limitation Revenue Model”--Project Value Added with Value Limit

Year of Agreement	School Year	M&O Taxes @ Compressed Rate	State Aid	Additional State Aid-Hold Harmless	Excess Formula Reduction	Recapture Costs	Additional Local M&O Collections	State Aid From Additional M&O Tax Collections	Recapture from the Additional Local Tax Effort	Total General Fund
1	2012-13	\$1,016,963	\$1,818,217	\$60,705	\$0	\$0	\$257,809	\$358,064	\$0	\$3,511,757
2	2013-14	\$2,809,199	\$1,757,081	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$712,157	\$879,505	\$0	\$6,157,942
3	2014-15	\$1,231,463	\$69,526	\$1,661,346	\$0	-\$66,450	\$312,187	\$13,656	-\$87,460	\$3,134,268
4	2015-16	\$1,231,463	\$1,538,203	\$126,219	\$0	\$0	\$312,187	\$254,790	\$0	\$3,462,861
5	2016-17	\$1,231,463	\$1,538,203	\$126,219	\$0	\$0	\$312,187	\$254,790	\$0	\$3,462,861
6	2017-18	\$1,231,463	\$1,538,203	\$126,219	\$0	\$0	\$312,187	\$254,790	\$0	\$3,462,861
7	2018-19	\$1,231,463	\$1,538,203	\$126,219	\$0	\$0	\$312,187	\$254,790	\$0	\$3,462,861
8	2019-20	\$1,231,463	\$1,538,203	\$126,219	\$0	\$0	\$312,187	\$254,790	\$0	\$3,462,861
9	2020-21	\$1,231,463	\$1,538,203	\$126,219	\$0	\$0	\$312,187	\$254,790	\$0	\$3,462,861
10	2021-22	\$1,231,463	\$1,538,203	\$126,219	\$0	\$0	\$312,187	\$254,790	\$0	\$3,462,861
11	2022-23	\$2,111,675	\$1,538,203	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$535,328	\$436,905	\$0	\$4,622,112
12	2023-24	\$2,042,412	\$640,027	\$213,445	\$0	\$0	\$517,769	\$75,680	-\$61,887	\$3,427,448
13	2024-25	\$1,977,305	\$710,704	\$207,876	\$0	\$0	\$501,264	\$80,365	-\$48,784	\$3,428,730
14	2025-26	\$1,916,103	\$777,140	\$202,641	\$0	\$0	\$485,749	\$84,802	-\$36,415	\$3,430,020
15	2026-27	\$1,858,573	\$839,591	\$197,720	\$0	\$0	\$471,165	\$89,005	-\$24,737	\$3,431,318

Table 4 – Value Limit less Project Value with No Limit

Year of Agreement	School Year	M&O Taxes @ Compressed Rate	State Aid	Additional State Aid-Hold Harmless	Excess Formula Reduction	Recapture Costs	Additional Local M&O Collections	State Aid From Additional M&O Tax Collections	Recapture from the Additional Local Tax Effort	Total General Fund
1	2012-13	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	2013-14	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	2014-15	-\$1,534,357	\$0	\$1,429,463	\$0	\$104,894	-\$388,973	-\$17,015	\$108,972	-\$297,016
4	2015-16	-\$1,461,803	\$1,437,605	-\$72,010	\$0	\$96,209	-\$370,580	\$219,245	\$182,375	\$31,041
5	2016-17	-\$1,392,150	\$1,416,890	-\$48,680	\$0	\$23,940	-\$352,922	\$214,556	\$168,863	\$30,497
6	2017-18	-\$1,325,257	\$1,392,150	-\$66,893	\$0	\$0	-\$335,964	\$210,043	\$155,872	\$29,951
7	2018-19	-\$1,228,959	\$1,325,257	-\$96,298	\$0	\$0	-\$311,552	\$206,330	\$141,534	\$36,312
8	2019-20	-\$1,138,438	\$1,228,959	-\$90,521	\$0	\$0	-\$288,604	\$200,117	\$123,788	\$35,301
9	2020-21	-\$1,053,349	\$1,138,438	-\$85,089	\$0	\$0	-\$267,033	\$194,247	\$107,058	\$34,272
10	2021-22	-\$973,364	\$1,053,348	-\$79,984	\$0	\$0	-\$246,756	\$188,699	\$91,286	\$33,229
11	2022-23	\$0	\$973,363	-\$219,370	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$366,172	\$75,769	\$1,195,935
12	2023-24	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
13	2024-25	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
14	2025-26	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
15	2026-27	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Table 5 - Estimated Financial impact of the Wind Tex Energy - Stephens, LLC Project Property Value Limitation Request Submitted to O'DISD at \$1.17 M&O Tax Rate

Year of Agreement	School Year	Project Value	Estimated Taxable Value	Value Savings	Taxes Before Value Limit	Taxes after Value Limit	Tax Savings @ Projected M&O Rate	Tax Credits for First Two Years Above Limit	Tax Benefit to Company Before Revenue Protection	School District Revenue Losses	Estimated Net Tax Benefits
1	2012-13	\$6,550,000	\$6,550,000	\$0	\$76,635	\$76,635	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	2013-14	\$202,484,400	\$202,484,400	\$0	\$2,369,067	\$2,369,067	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	2014-15	\$194,387,250	\$30,000,000	\$164,387,250	\$2,274,331	\$351,000	\$1,923,331	\$0	\$1,923,331	-\$297,016	\$1,626,314
4	2015-16	\$186,614,000	\$30,000,000	\$156,614,000	\$2,183,384	\$351,000	\$1,832,384	\$175,500	\$2,007,884	\$0	\$2,007,884
5	2016-17	\$179,151,500	\$30,000,000	\$149,151,500	\$2,096,073	\$351,000	\$1,745,073	\$175,500	\$1,920,573	\$0	\$1,920,573
6	2017-18	\$171,984,700	\$30,000,000	\$141,984,700	\$2,012,221	\$351,000	\$1,661,221	\$175,500	\$1,836,721	\$0	\$1,836,721
7	2018-19	\$161,667,600	\$30,000,000	\$131,667,600	\$1,891,511	\$351,000	\$1,540,511	\$175,500	\$1,716,011	\$0	\$1,716,011
8	2019-20	\$151,969,400	\$30,000,000	\$121,969,400	\$1,778,042	\$351,000	\$1,427,042	\$175,500	\$1,602,542	\$0	\$1,602,542
9	2020-21	\$142,853,100	\$30,000,000	\$112,853,100	\$1,671,381	\$351,000	\$1,320,381	\$175,500	\$1,495,881	\$0	\$1,495,881
10	2021-22	\$134,283,700	\$30,000,000	\$104,283,700	\$1,571,119	\$351,000	\$1,220,119	\$175,500	\$1,395,619	\$0	\$1,395,619
11	2022-23	\$126,228,300	\$126,228,300	\$0	\$1,476,871	\$1,476,871	\$0	\$789,567	\$789,567	\$0	\$789,567
12	2023-24	\$118,656,200	\$118,656,200	\$0	\$1,388,278	\$1,388,278	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
13	2024-25	\$111,538,400	\$111,538,400	\$0	\$1,304,999	\$1,304,999	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
14	2025-26	\$104,847,600	\$104,847,600	\$0	\$1,226,717	\$1,226,717	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
15	2026-27	\$98,558,200	\$98,558,200	\$0	\$1,153,131	\$1,153,131	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

\$24,473,760 \$11,803,698 \$12,670,062 \$2,018,067 \$14,688,129 -\$297,016 \$14,391,113

Tax Credit for Value Over Limit in First 2 Years

	<u>Year 1</u>	<u>Year 2</u>	<u>Max Credits</u>
	\$0	\$2,018,067	\$2,018,067
Credits Earned			\$2,018,067
Credits Paid			<u>\$2,018,067</u>
Excess Credits Unpaid			\$0