

### GLENN HEGAR TEXAS COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

P.O. Box 13528 • Austin, TX 78711-3528

February 1, 2017

Eduardo Infante Superintendent Lyford Consolidated Independent School District PO Box 2220 Lyford, Texas 78569

Re:

Certificate for Limitation on Appraised Value of Property for School District Maintenance and Operations Taxes by and between Lyford Consolidated Independent School District and Las Majadas Wind Farm, LLC, Application 1150

### Dear Superintendent Infante:

On November 3, 2016, the Comptroller issued written notice that Las Majadas Wind Farm, LLC (applicant) submitted a completed application (Application 1150) for a limitation on appraised value under the provisions of Tax Code Chapter 313¹. This application was originally submitted on August 15, 2016, to the Lyford Consolidated Independent School District (school district) by the applicant.

This presents the results of the Comptroller's review of the application and determinations required:

- 1) under Section 313.025(h) to determine if the property meets the requirements of Section 313.024 for eligibility for a limitation on appraised value under Chapter 313, Subchapter C; and
- 2) under Section 313.025(d), to issue a certificate for a limitation on appraised value of the property and provide the certificate to the governing body of the school district or provide the governing body a written explanation of the Comptroller's decision not to issue a certificate, using the criteria set out in Section 313.026.

### Determination required by 313.025(h)

Sec. 313.024(a) Sec. 313.024(b)	Applicant is subject to tax imposed by Chapter 171.
	Applicant is proposing to use the property for an eligible project.
Sec. 313.024(d)	Applicant has requested a waiver to create the required number of new
	qualifying jobs and pay all jobs created that are not qualifying jobs a wage
	that exceeds the county average weekly wage for all jobs in the county
	where the jobs are located.
Sec. 313.024(d-2)	Not applicable to Application 1150.

Based on the information provided by the applicant, the Comptroller has determined that the property meets the requirements of Section 313.024 for eligibility for a limitation on appraised value under Chapter 313, Subchapter C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All statutory references are to the Texas Tax Code, unless otherwise noted.

### Certificate decision required by 313.025(d)

Determination required by 313.026(c)(1)

The Comptroller has determined that the project proposed by the applicant is reasonably likely to generate tax revenue in an amount sufficient to offset the school district's maintenance and operations *ad valorem tax* revenue lost as a result of the agreement before the 25th anniversary of the beginning of the limitation period, see Attachment B.

Determination required by 313.026(c)(2)

The Comptroller has determined that the limitation on appraised value is a determining factor in the applicant's decision to invest capital and construct the project in this state, see Attachment C.

Based on these determinations, the Comptroller issues a certificate for a limitation on appraised value. This certificate is contingent on the school district's receipt and acceptance of the Texas Education Agency's determination per 313.025(b-1).

The Comptroller's review of the application assumes the accuracy and completeness of the statements in the application. If the application is approved by the school district, the applicant shall perform according to the provisions of the Texas Economic Development Act Agreement (Form 50-826) executed with the school district. The school district shall comply with and enforce the stipulations, provisions, terms, and conditions of the agreement, applicable Texas Administrative Code and Chapter 313, per TAC 9.1054(i)(3).

This certificate is no longer valid if the application is modified, the information presented in the application changes, or the limitation agreement does not conform to the application. Additionally, this certificate is contingent on the school district approving and executing the agreement by December 31, 2017.

Note that any building or improvement existing as of the application review start date of November 3, 2016, or any tangible personal property placed in service prior to that date may not become "Qualified Property" as defined by 313.021(2) and the Texas Administrative Code.

Should you have any questions, please contact Will Counihan, Director, Data Analysis & Transparency, by email at will.counihan@cpa.texas.gov or by phone toll-free at 1-800-531-5441, ext. 6-0758, or at 512-936-0758.

Sincerely

Mike Reising Deputy Comptroller

Enclosure

cc: Will Counihan

### Attachment A - Economic Impact Analysis

The following tables summarize the Comptroller's economic impact analysis of Las Majadas Wind Farm, LLC (project) applying to Lyford Consolidated Independent School District (district), as required by Tax Code, 313.026 and Texas Administrative Code 9.1055(d)(2).

Table 1 is a summary of investment, employment and tax impact of Las Majadas Wind Farm, LLC.

Applicant	Las Majadas Wind Farm, LLC
Tax Code, 313.024 Eligibility Category	Renewable Energy Electric Generation
School District	Lyford Consolidated ISD
2015-2016 Average Daily Attendance	1,438
County	Willacy
Proposed Total Investment in District	\$262,600,000
Proposed Qualified Investment	\$262,600,000
Limitation Amount	\$20,000,000
Qualifying Time Period (Full Years)	2018-2019
Number of new qualifying jobs committed to by applicant	6*
Number of new non-qualifying jobs estimated by applicant	0
Average weekly wage of qualifying jobs committed to by applicant	\$711
Minimum weekly wage required for each qualifying job by Tax Code, 313.021(5)(B)	\$711
Minimum annual wage committed to by applicant for qualified jobs	\$36,996.96
Minimum weekly wage required for non-qualifying jobs	\$605
Minimum annual wage required for non-qualifying jobs	\$31,461
Investment per Qualifying Job	\$43,766,667
Estimated M&O levy without any limit (15 years)	\$29,764,355
Estimated M&O levy with Limitation (15 years)	\$9,851,437
Estimated gross M&O tax benefit (15 years)  * Applicant is requesting district to waive requirement to create minimum number of qualifying jobs pursuant to Tax Code, 313.025 (f-1).	\$19,912,918

Table 2 is the estimated statewide economic impact of Las Majadas Wind Farm, LLC (modeled).

		Employment	nent Personal Income				
Year	Direct	Indirect + Induced	Total	Direct	Indirect + Induced	Total	
2018	85	71	156	\$2,082,670	\$7,358,380	\$9,441,050	
2019	6	14	19.5053	\$221,982	\$1,701,948	\$1,923,930	
2020	6	14	20	\$221,982	\$1,719,708	\$1,941,690	
2021	6	13	19	\$221,982	\$1,661,058	\$1,883,040	
2022	6	12	18	\$221,982	\$1,596,478	\$1,818,460	
2023	6	10	16	\$221,982	\$1,520,848	\$1,742,830	
2024	6	10	16	\$221,982	\$1,439,128	\$1,661,110	
2025	6	9	15	\$221,982	\$1,377,388	\$1,599,370	
2026	6	8	14	\$221,982	\$1,318,598	\$1,540,580	
2027	6	7	13	\$221,982	\$1,263,908	\$1,485,890	
2028	6	7	13	\$221,982	\$1,213,328	\$1,435,310	
2029	6	3	9	\$221,982	\$684,188	\$906,170	
2030	6	1	7	\$221,982	\$424,938	\$646,920	
2031	6	0	6	\$221,982	\$245,158	\$467,140	
2032	6	(0)	6	\$221,982	\$121,608	\$343,590	
2033	6	(0)	6	\$221,982	\$38,218	\$260,200	

Source: CPA REMI, Las Majadas Wind Farm, LLC

Table 3 examines the estimated direct impact on ad valorem taxes to the region if all taxes are assessed.

Table 3 Es	timated Direct Ad Valorem T	axes without property tax in	centives			-					
				Lyford CISD		Lyford CISD		Willacy County	Willacy County	Willacy County	
	Estimated Taxable Value	Estimated Taxable Value		I&S Tax	Lyford CISD M&O	M&O and I&S	Willacy County	Hospital	Emergency	Drainage	Estimated Total
Year	for I&S	for M&O		Levy	Tax Levy	Tax Levies	Tax Levy	District Tax	Services	District #1 Tax	Property Taxes
			Tax Rate <sup>1</sup>	0.1100	1.1700		0.6059	0.0383	0.0397	0.2500	
2019	\$ 236,996,500.00	\$236,996,500		\$260,696	\$2,772,859	\$3,033,555	\$1,435,962	\$90,722	\$94,088	\$592,491	\$5,246,818
2020	\$ 225,146,675.00	\$225,146,675		\$247,661	\$2,634,216	\$2,881,877	\$1,364,164	\$86,186	\$89,383	\$562,867	\$4,984,477
2021	\$ 213,889,341.25	\$213,889,341		\$235,278	\$2,502,505	\$2,737,784	\$1,295,956	\$81,877	\$84,914	\$534,723	\$4,735,253
2022	\$ 203,194,874.19	\$203,194,874		\$223,514	\$2,377,380	\$2,600,894	\$1,231,158	\$77,783	\$80,668	\$507,987	\$4,498,491
2023	\$ 193,035,130.48	\$193,035,130		\$212,339	\$2,258,511	\$2,470,850	\$1,169,600	\$73,894	\$76,635	\$482,588	\$4,273,566
2024	\$ 183,383,373.95	\$183,383,374		\$201,722	\$2,145,585	\$2,347,307	\$1,111,120	\$70,199	\$72,803	\$458,458	
2025	\$ 174,214,205.26	\$174,214,205		\$191,636	\$2,038,306	\$2,229,942	\$1,055,564	\$66,689	\$69,163	\$435,536	\$3,856,893
2026	\$ 165,503,494.99	\$165,503,495		\$182,054	\$1,936,391	\$2,118,445	\$1,002,786	\$63,355	\$65,705	\$413,759	\$3,664,049
2027	\$ 157,228,320.24	\$157,228,320		\$172,951	\$1,839,571	\$2,012,522	\$952,646	\$60,187	\$62,420	\$393,071	\$3,480,846
2028	\$ 149,366,904.23	\$149,366,904		\$164,304	\$1,747,593	\$1,911,896	\$905,014	\$57,178	\$59,299	\$373,417	\$3,306,804
2029	\$ 141,898,559.02	\$141,898,559		\$156,088	\$1,660,213	\$1,816,302	\$859,763	\$54,319	\$56,334	\$354,746	\$3,141,464
2030	\$ 134,803,631.07	\$134,803,631		\$148,284	\$1,577,202	\$1,725,486	\$816,775	\$51,603	\$53,517	\$337,009	\$2,984,391
2031	\$ 128,063,449.52	\$128,063,450		\$140,870	\$1,498,342	\$1,639,212	\$775,936	\$49,023	\$50,841	\$320,159	\$2,835,171
2032	\$ 121,660,277.04	\$121,660,277		\$133,826	\$1,423,425	\$1,557,252	<b>\$</b> 737,140	\$46,572	\$48,299	\$304,151	\$2,693,413
2033	\$ 115,577,263.19	\$115,577,263		\$127,135	\$1,352,254	\$1,479,389	\$700,283	\$44,243	\$45,884	\$288,943	\$2,558,742
			Total	\$2,798,358	\$29,764,355	\$32,562,714	\$15,413,866	\$973,829	\$1,009,953	\$6,359,905	\$56,320,266

Source: CPA, Las Majadas Wind Farm, LLC

<sup>1</sup>Tax Rate per \$100 Valuation

**Table 4** examines the estimated direct impact on ad valorem taxes to the school district and Willacy County, with all property tax incentives sought being granted using estimated market value from the application. The project has applied for a value limitation under Chapter 313, Tax Code and tax abatement with Willacy County, Willacy Hospital District, Willacy Emergency Services District, and Willacy County Drainage District #1.

The difference noted in the last line is the difference between the totals in Table 3 and Table 4.

Table 4 Est	imated l	Direct Ad Valorem T	axes with all property tax in	centives so	ught							
	1									Willacy County		
					i				Willacy County	Emergency	Willacy County	
					Lyford CISD		Lyford CISD		Hospital	Services	Drainage	
	Estim	ated Taxable Value	Estimated Taxable Value		I&S Tax	Lyford CISD M&O	M&O and I&S	Willacy County	District Tax	District Tax	District #1 Tax	Estimated Total
Year	for I&S for M&O		for M&O		Levy	Tax Levy	Tax Levies	Tax Levy	Levy	Levy	Levy	Property Taxes
				Tax Rate 1	0.1100	1.1700		0.6059	0.0383	0.0397	0.2500	0
2019	\$	236,996,500.00	\$ 20,000,000.00		\$260,696	\$234,000	\$494,696	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$494,696
2020	\$	225,146,675.00			\$247,661	\$234,000	\$481,661	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$481,661
2021	\$	213,889,341.25	\$ 20,000,000.00		\$235,278	\$234,000	\$469,278	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$469,278
2022	\$	203, 194,874. 19	\$ 20,000,000.00		\$223,514	\$234,000	\$457,514	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$457,514
2023	\$	193,035,130.48	\$ 20,000,000.00		\$212,339	\$234,000	\$446,339	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$446,339
2024	\$	183,383,373.95			\$201,722	\$234,000	\$435,722	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$435,722
2025	\$	174,214,205.26	\$ 20,000,000.00		\$191,636	\$234,000	\$425,636	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$425,636
2026	\$	165,503,494.99	\$ 20,000,000.00		\$182,054	\$234,000	\$416,054	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$416,054
2027	\$	157,228,320.24			\$172,951	\$234,000	\$406,951	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$406,951
2028	\$	149,366,904.23	\$ 20,000,000.00		\$164,304	\$234,000	\$398,304	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$398,304
2029	\$	141,898,559.02	\$ 141,898,559.02		\$156,088	\$1,660,213	\$1,816,302	\$859,763	\$54,319	\$56,334	\$0	\$2,786,717
2030	\$	134,803,631.07			\$148,284	\$1,577,202	\$1,725,486	\$816,775	\$51,603	\$53,517	\$337,009	\$2,984,391
2031	\$	128,063,449.52	\$ 128,063,449.52		\$140,870	\$1,498,342	\$1,639,212	\$775,936	\$49,023	\$50,841	\$320,159	\$2,835,171
2032	\$	121,660,277.04	\$ 121,660,277.04		\$133,826	\$1,423,425	\$1,557,252	\$737,140	\$46,572	\$48,299	\$304,151	\$2,693,413
2033	\$	115,577,263.19	\$ 115,577,263.19		\$127,135	\$i,352,254	\$1,479,389	\$700,283	\$44,243	\$45,884	\$288,943	\$2,558,742
				Total	\$2,798,358	\$9,851,437	\$12,649,795	\$3,889,897	\$245,759	\$254,875	\$1,250,262	\$18,290,588
			<del></del>	D:ee	*0	£10.012.010	\$10.012.010	A11 522 0 CO	A=40.0=0	ABB 0 000	<b>A.</b> 400 C C	440.440
Venumon Co	haal 141	his Limitation as 1 T-	v Abatamanta mith the Com-	Diff	\$0	\$19,912,918	\$19,912,918	\$11,523,968	\$728,070	\$755,078	\$5,109,643	\$38,029,678
122 mines 20			x Abatements with the Cour	nty.								

Source: CPA, Las Majadas Wind Farm, LLC

<sup>1</sup>Tax Rate per \$100 Valuation

**Disclaimer:** This examination is based on information from the application submitted to the school district and forwarded to the comptroller. It is intended to meet the statutory requirement of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code and is not intended for any other purpose.

### Attachment B - Tax Revenue before 25th Anniversary of Limitation Start

This represents the Comptroller's determination that Las Majadas Wind Farm, LLC (project) is reasonably likely to generate, before the 25th anniversary of the beginning of the limitation period, tax revenue in an amount sufficient to offset the school district maintenance and operations ad valorem tax revenue lost as a result of the agreement. This evaluation is based on an analysis of the estimated M&O portion of the school district property tax levy directly related to this project, using estimated taxable values provided in the application.

	Tax Year	Estimated ISD M&O Tax Levy Generated (Annual)	Estimated ISD M&O Tax Levy Generated (Cumulative)	Estimated ISD M&O Tax Levy Loss as Result of Agreement (Annual)	Estimated ISD M&O Tax Levy Loss as Result of Agreement (Cumulative)
Limitation	2016	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Pre-Years	2017	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	2018	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	2019	\$234,000	\$234,000	\$2,538,859	\$2,538,859
	2020	\$234,000	\$468,000	\$2,400,216	\$4,939,075
	2021	\$234,000	\$702,000	\$2,268,505	\$7,207,580
	2022	\$234,000	\$936,000	\$2,143,380	\$9,350,960
Limitation Period	2023	\$234,000	\$1,170,000	\$2,024,511	\$11,375,471
(10 Years)	2024	\$234,000	\$1,404,000	\$1,911,585	\$13,287,057
27	2025	\$234,000	\$1,638,000	\$1,804,306	\$15,091,363
	2026	\$234,000	\$1,872,000	\$1,702,391	\$16,793,754
	2027	\$234,000	\$2,106,000	\$1,605,571	\$18,399,325
	2028	\$234,000	\$2,340,000	\$1,513,593	\$19,912,918
	2029	\$1,660,213	\$4,000,213	\$0	\$19,912,918
Maintain Viable	2030	\$1,577,202	\$5,577,416	\$0	\$19,912,918
Presence	2031	\$1,498,342	\$7,075,758	\$0	\$19,912,918
(5 Years)	2032	\$1,423,425	\$8,499,183	\$0	\$19,912,918
	2033	\$1,352,254	\$9,851,437	\$0	\$19,912,918
	2034	\$1,284,641	\$11,136,078	\$0	\$19,912,918
	2035	\$1,220,409	\$12,356,488	\$0	\$19,912,918
	2036	\$1,159,389	\$13,515,876	\$0	\$19,912,918
Additional Years	2037	\$1,101,419	\$14,617,296	\$0	\$19,912,918
as Required by	2038	\$1,046,348	\$15,663,644	\$0	\$19,912,918
313.026(c)(1)	2039	\$994,031	\$16,657,675	\$0	\$19,912,918
(10 Years)	2040	\$944,329	\$17,602,004	\$0	\$19,912,918
	2041	\$897,113	\$18,499,117	\$0	\$19,912,918
54	2042	\$852,257	\$19,351,375	\$0	\$19,912,918
	2043	\$809,644	\$20,161,019	\$0	\$19,912,918
	_	\$20,161,019	is greater than	\$19,912,918	
Analysis Summary					
s the project reason result of the limitation		generate tax revenue in a	n amount sufficient to offse	et the M&O levy loss as	Yes

NOTE: The analysis above only takes into account this project's estimated impact on the M&O portion of the school district property tax levy directly related to this project.

Source: CPA, Las Majadas Wind Farm, LLC

**Disclaimer:** This examination is based on information from the application submitted to the school district and forwarded to the comptroller. It is intended to meet the statutory requirement of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code and is not intended for any other purpose.

### Attachment C - Limitation as a Determining Factor

Tax Code 313.026 states that the Comptroller may not issue a certificate for a limitation on appraised value under this chapter for property described in an application unless the comptroller determines that "the limitation on appraised value is a determining factor in the applicant's decision to invest capital and construct the project in this state." This represents the basis for the Comptroller's determination.

### Methodology

Texas Administrative Code 9.1055(d) states the Comptroller shall review any information available to the Comptroller including:

- the application, including the responses to the questions in Section 8 (Limitation as a Determining Factor);
- public documents or statements by the applicant concerning business operations or site location issues or in which the applicant is a subject;
- statements by officials of the applicant, public documents or statements by governmental or industry officials concerning business operations or site location issues;
- existing investment and operations at or near the site or in the state that may impact the proposed project;
- announced real estate transactions, utility records, permit requests, industry publications or other sources that may provide information helpful in making the determination; and
- market information, raw materials or other production inputs, availability, existing facility locations, committed incentives, infrastructure issues, utility issues, location of buyers, nature of market, supply chains, other known sites under consideration.

### Determination

The Comptroller has determined that the limitation on appraised value is a determining factor in the Las Majadas Wind Farm LLC's decision to invest capital and construct the project in this state. This is based on information available, including information provided by the applicant. Specifically, the comptroller notes the following:

- Las Majadas Wind Farm, LLC in Tab 5 of their Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value:
  - A. "Las Majadas Wind Farm, LLC was formed in 2016. In support of its creation the participating members, EDF Renewable Energy, executed documents necessary to form the entity, Las Majadas Wind Farm, LLC. EDF Renewable Energy has entered into the following representative agreements and contracts for the development of a project phase within Lyford CISD and to assign these assets to Las Majadas Wind Farm, LLC. Grants of leases and easements covering approximately 15,000 acres with 50 landowners, Four Season Avian Study and contract, Threatened & Endangered Species Studies and contract, Reinvestment Zone with Willacy County Commissioners Court, Interconnection Application with ERCOT, Agreement with local partner for local representation."
  - B. "EDF Renewable Energy's ("EDF RE") management team is uniquely qualified to develop and construct wind and other energy projects in the United States with a favorable wind regime. In North America, EDF RE has developed of 7.8 gigawatts of renewable energy developments and currently operates 10.7 gigawatts. Based on this experience the management team evaluates all potential projects for feasibility, financeability, and the economic returns they represent in comparison to other project opportunities both OUTSIDE the state of Texas as WITHIN the state of Texas. Other locations being evaluated include, but are not limited to: California, Colorado, Oklahoma, Kansas, Iowa, Minnesota, Illinois, Montana, Indiana, Michigan, Pennsylvania, and Washington."
  - C. "For these reasons. EDF Renewable Energy studies and evaluates various competing sites throughout the market areas across the US where wind development is attractive. Without a Value Limitation program, EDF Renewable Energy would seek to move to alternative sites outside of the state of Texas."

- D. "Therefore, a 313 Limitation of Appraised Value Agreement is a vital tax incentive necessary to ensure the Project is on a level playing field with other wind projects with similar incentives."
- E. "Without the requested limitation, the Project will be unable to generate sufficient operating margins and net income to produce economically competitive energy and associate returns necessary to finance the projected capital costs of approximately \$260M needed to purchase wind turbines and other infrastructure and to fund the construction of the facility."
- According to Willacy County Chronicle News dated April 27, 2016, "A new reinvestment zone that
  will benefit a new wind farm could be created in Willacy County if it gets the green light of approval
  by the commissioners' court. The commissioners will hold a public hearing this Thursday and people
  are welcome to give their opinions on what is known as Las Majadas Reinvestment Zone- Willacy
  County."
- April 28, 2016, Regular Meeting Minutes of the Honorable Commissioner's Court of Willacy County, "Approval of Resolution and order approving designation of the Las Majadas Reinvestment Zone."
- According to Willacy County Chronicle News dated May 4, 2016, "A new wind farm is coming to
  Willacy County, making it the third company that will provide energy from wind power here. An
  environmental study is under way for the project that is expected to cost more than \$130 million.
  The resolution was approved during Thursday's meeting of the commissioners' court."

- a) Section 8 of the Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value
- b) Attachments provided in Tab 5 of the Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value
- c) Additional information provided by the Applicant or located by the Comptroller

**Disclaimer:** This examination is based on information from the application submitted to the school district and forwarded to the comptroller. It is intended to meet the statutory requirement of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code and is not intended for any other purpose.

Section 8 of the Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value



## Application for Appraised Value Limitation on Qualified Property

	SECII	ON 6: Eligibility Under Tax Code Chapter 313.024				
1.	Are y	ou an entity subject to the tax under Tax Code, Chapter 171?	1	Yes		No
2,		roperty will be used for one of the following activities:	-	1		
	(1)	manufacturing		Yes	1	No
	(2)	research and development		Yes	1	No
	(3)	a clean coal project, as defined by Section 5.001, Water Code		Yes	1	No
	(4)	an advanced clean energy project, as defined by Section 382.003, Health and Safety Code		Yes	1	No
	(5)	renewable energy electric generation	1	Yes	9	No
	(6)	electric power generation using integrated gasification combined cycle technology		Yes	1	No
	(7)	nuclear electric power generation		Yes	1	No
	(8)	a computer center that is used as an integral part or as a necessary auxillary part for the activity conducted by				
		applicant in one or more activities described by Subdivisions (1) through (7)	L	Yes	<b>√</b>	No
	(9)	a Texas Priority Project, as defined by 313.024(e)(7) and TAC 9.1051		Yes	1	No
3.	Are yo	u requesting that any of the land be classified as qualified investment?		Yes	1	No
4.	Will a	y of the proposed qualified investment be leased under a capitalized lease?		Yes	1	No
5.	Will a	y of the proposed qualified investment be leased under an operating lease?		Yes	1	No
6.	Are yo	u including property that is owned by a person other than the applicant?		Yes	1	No
7.	Will ar	by property be pooled or proposed to be pooled with property owned by the applicant in determining the amount of	[]			
	your q	ualified investment?		Yes	$\checkmark$	No
1	SHAPE SHAPE SHAPE	N 7: Project Description				
1	In Tab	4, attach a detailed description of the scope of the proposed project, including, at a minimum, the type and planned use of	real a	ınd tan	gible p	oer-
1.	in Tab sonal		real a	ind tan	gible p	oer-
1.	In Tab sonal Check	4, attach a detailed description of the scope of the proposed project, including, at a minimum, the type and planned use of property, the nature of the business, a timeline for property construction or installation, and any other relevant information.			gible t	per-
1.	In Tab sonal Check	4, attach a detailed description of the scope of the proposed project, including, at a minimum, the type and planned use of property, the nature of the business, a timeline for property construction or installation, and any other relevant information. the project characteristics that apply to the proposed project:			gible p	per-
1.	In Tab sonal Check	4, attach a detailed description of the scope of the proposed project, including, at a minimum, the type and planned use of property, the nature of the business, a timeline for property construction or installation, and any other relevant information. the project characteristics that apply to the proposed project:  and has no existing improvements  Land has existing improvements (complete Second			gible p	oer-
1.	In Tab sonal Check	4, attach a detailed description of the scope of the proposed project, including, at a minimum, the type and planned use of property, the nature of the business, a timeline for property construction or installation, and any other relevant information. The project characteristics that apply to the proposed project:  and has no existing improvements  Land has existing improvements (complete Section 13)  Relocation within Texas				
1. 2.	In Tab sonal Check	4, attach a detailed description of the scope of the proposed project, including, at a minimum, the type and planned use of property, the nature of the business, a timeline for property construction or installation, and any other relevant information. The project characteristics that apply to the proposed project:  and has no existing improvements  Land has existing improvements (complete Section 13)  Relocation within Texas  N 8: Limitation as Determining Factor		13)		No No
1. 2.	In Tab sonal Check I I BECTIO Does t	4, attach a detailed description of the scope of the proposed project, including, at a minimum, the type and planned use of property, the nature of the business, a timeline for property construction or installation, and any other relevant information. The project characteristics that apply to the proposed project:  and has no existing improvements  Expansion of existing operation on the land (complete Section 13)  Relocation within Texas  N 8: Limitation as Determining Factor  the applicant currently own the land on which the proposed project will occur?		13) Yes	<b>✓</b>	No No
1. 2. 1. 2.	In Tab sonal Check Line I	4, attach a detailed description of the scope of the proposed project, including, at a minimum, the type and planned use of property, the nature of the business, a timeline for property construction or installation, and any other relevant information. The project characteristics that apply to the proposed project:  and has no existing improvements  Expansion of existing operation on the land (complete Section 13)  Relocation within Texas  N 8: Limitation as Determining Factor  the applicant currently own the land on which the proposed project will occur?  the applicant entered into any agreements, contracts or letters of intent related to the proposed project?  the applicant have current business activities at the location where the proposed project will occur?  the applicant made public statements in SEC filings or other documents regarding its intentions regarding the		13) Yes Yes	<b>✓</b>	No
1. 2. 1. 2. 3.	In Tab sonal Check It It Does t Has th Does t Has th propos	4, attach a detailed description of the scope of the proposed project, including, at a minimum, the type and planned use of property, the nature of the business, a timeline for property construction or installation, and any other relevant information. The project characteristics that apply to the proposed project:  and has no existing improvements  Land has existing improvements (complete Section 13)  Relocation within Texas  N 8: Limitation as Determining Factor  The applicant currently own the land on which the proposed project will occur?  The applicant entered into any agreements, contracts or letters of intent related to the proposed project?  The applicant have current business activities at the location where the proposed project will occur?  The applicant made public statements in SEC filings or other documents regarding its intentions regarding the end project location?		13) Yes Yes	✓	No No
1. 2. 1. 2. 3.	In Tab sonal Check It It Does t Has th Does t Has th propos	4, attach a detailed description of the scope of the proposed project, including, at a minimum, the type and planned use of property, the nature of the business, a timeline for property construction or installation, and any other relevant information. The project characteristics that apply to the proposed project:  and has no existing improvements  Expansion of existing operation on the land (complete Section 13)  Relocation within Texas  N 8: Limitation as Determining Factor  the applicant currently own the land on which the proposed project will occur?  the applicant entered into any agreements, contracts or letters of intent related to the proposed project?  the applicant have current business activities at the location where the proposed project will occur?  the applicant made public statements in SEC filings or other documents regarding its intentions regarding the	ction	Yes Yes Yes	✓ ✓	No No No
1. 2. 1. 2. 3. 4.	In Tab sonal Check I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	4, attach a detailed description of the scope of the proposed project, including, at a minimum, the type and planned use of property, the nature of the business, a timeline for property construction or installation, and any other relevant information. The project characteristics that apply to the proposed project:  and has no existing improvements  Land has existing improvements (complete Section 13)  Relocation within Texas  N 8: Limitation as Determining Factor  The applicant currently own the land on which the proposed project will occur?  The applicant entered into any agreements, contracts or letters of intent related to the proposed project?  The applicant have current business activities at the location where the proposed project will occur?  The applicant made public statements in SEC filings or other documents regarding its intentions regarding the end project location?	ction	Yes Yes Yes Yes	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	No No No
1. 2. 1. 2. 3. 4.	In Tab sonal Check I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	4, attach a detailed description of the scope of the proposed project, including, at a minimum, the type and planned use of property, the nature of the business, a timeline for property construction or installation, and any other relevant information. The project characteristics that apply to the proposed project:  and has no existing improvements  Expansion of existing operation on the land (complete Section 13)  Relocation within Texas  N 8: Limitation as Determining Factor  The applicant currently own the land on which the proposed project will occur?  The applicant entered into any agreements, contracts or letters of intent related to the proposed project?  The applicant have current business activities at the location where the proposed project will occur?  The applicant made public statements in SEC filings or other documents regarding its intentions regarding the end project location?	ction	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	✓ ✓ ✓	No No No No
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	In Tab sonal Check I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	4, attach a detailed description of the scope of the proposed project, including, at a minimum, the type and planned use of property, the nature of the business, a timeline for property construction or installation, and any other relevant information. The project characteristics that apply to the proposed project:  and has no existing improvements  Land has existing improvements (complete Section 13)  Relocation within Texas  N. 8: Limitation as Determining Factor  The applicant currently own the land on which the proposed project will occur?  The applicant entered into any agreements, contracts or letters of intent related to the proposed project?  The applicant have current business activities at the location where the proposed project will occur?  The applicant made public statements in SEC fillings or other documents regarding its intentions regarding the end project location?  The applicant received any local or state permits for activities on the proposed project site?  The applicant received commitments for state or local incentives for activities at the proposed project in comparison applicant provided capital investment or return on investment information for the proposed project in comparison.	ction	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>✓</li><li>✓</li><li>✓</li><li>✓</li></ul>	No No No No No
1. 2. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	In Tab sonal Check I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	4, attach a detailed description of the scope of the proposed project, including, at a minimum, the type and planned use of property, the nature of the business, a timeline for property construction or installation, and any other relevant information. The project characteristics that apply to the proposed project:  and has no existing improvements  Land has existing improvements (complete Section 13)  Relocation within Texas  N 8: Limitation as Determining Factor  The applicant currently own the land on which the proposed project will occur?  The applicant entered into any agreements, contracts or letters of intent related to the proposed project?  The applicant have current business activities at the location where the proposed project will occur?  The applicant made public statements in SEC filings or other documents regarding its intentions regarding the end project location?  The applicant received any local or state permits for activities on the proposed project site?  The applicant received commitments for state or local incentives for activities at the proposed project site?  The applicant evaluating other locations not in Texas for the proposed project?  The applicant provided capital investment or return on investment information for the proposed project in comparison are relaternative investment opportunities?	ction V	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		No No No No No No
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	In Tab sonal Check I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	4, attach a detailed description of the scope of the proposed project, including, at a minimum, the type and planned use of property, the nature of the business, a timeline for property construction or installation, and any other relevant information. The project characteristics that apply to the proposed project:  and has no existing improvements    Land has existing improvements   Land has existing improvements   Complete Section 13     Relocation within Texas     N 8: Limitation as Determining Factor	ction V	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		No No No No No
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 3. 7. 3.	In Tab sonal Check I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	4, attach a detailed description of the scope of the proposed project, including, at a minimum, the type and planned use of property, the nature of the business, a timeline for property construction or installation, and any other relevant information. The project characteristics that apply to the proposed project:  and has no existing improvements  Land has existing improvements (complete Section 13)  Relocation within Texas  N 8: Limitation as Determining Factor  The applicant currently own the land on which the proposed project will occur?  The applicant entered into any agreements, contracts or letters of intent related to the proposed project?  The applicant have current business activities at the location where the proposed project will occur?  The applicant made public statements in SEC filings or other documents regarding its intentions regarding the end project location?  The applicant received any local or state permits for activities on the proposed project site?  The applicant received commitments for state or local incentives for activities at the proposed project site?  The applicant evaluating other locations not in Texas for the proposed project?  The applicant provided capital investment or return on investment information for the proposed project in comparison are relaternative investment opportunities?	ction	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		No No No No No No

For more information, visit our website: www.TexasAhead.org/tax\_programs/chapter313/

Attachments provided in Tab 5 of the Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value

#### Attachment 5

### Documentation to assist in determining if limitation is a determining factor.

Chapter 313.026(e) states "the applicant may submit information to the Comptroller that would provide a basis for an affirmative determination under Subsection (c) (2)." If you answered "yes" to any of the questions in Section 8, attach supporting information in Tab 5.

# 2. Has the applicant entered into any agreements, contracts or letters of intent related to the proposed project?

Las Majadas Wind Farm, LLC was formed in 2016. In support of its creation the participating members, EDF Renewable Energy, executed documents necessary to form the entity, Las Majadas Wind Farm, LLC.

EDF Renewable Energy has entered into the following representative agreements and contracts for the development of a project phase within Lyford CISD and intends to assign these assets to Las Majadas Wind Farm, LLC:

- Grants of leases and easements covering approximately 15,000 acres with 50 landowners.
- Four Season Avian Study and contract
- Bat Acoustic Study and contract
- Threatened & Endangered Species Studies and contract
- Reinvestment Zone with Willacy County Commissioners Court
- Interconnection Application with ERCOT
- Agreement with local partner for local representation

### 7. Is the applicant evaluating other locations not in Texas for the proposed project?

Yes. EDF Renewable Energy's ("EDF RE") management team is uniquely qualified to develop and construct wind and other energy projects in the United States with a favorable wind regime. In North America, EDF RE has developed of 7.8 gigawatts of renewable energy developments and currently operates 10.7 gigawatts. Based on this experience the management team evaluates all potential projects for feasibility, finance-ability, and the economic returns they represent in comparison to other project opportunities both OUTSIDE the state of Texas as WITHIN the state of Texas. Other locations being evaluated include, but are not limited to:

California Colorado Oklahoma Kansas Iowa Minnesota Illinois Montana Indiana Michigan Pennsylvania Washington

For these reasons. EDF Renewable Energy studies and evaluates various competing sites throughout the market areas across the US where wind development is attractive. Without a Value Limitation program, EDF Renewable Energy would seek to move to alternative sites outside of the state of Texas.

The Las Majadas Wind Project is currently in such a period of assessment to determine whether the identified site in Lyford CISD represents the best location or whether redeployment of its development resources and capital to other power markets in the United States is more advisable. As such, the development resources necessary to advance the subject planned 202 MW Las Majadas could be redeployed to other renewable energy development projects in other power markets in the United States.

Therefore, a 313 Limitation of Appraised Value Agreement is a vital tax incentive necessary to ensure the Project is on a level playing field with other wind projects with similar incentives. Without the requested limitation, the Project will be unable to generate sufficient operating margins and net income to produce economically competitive energy and associate returns necessary to attract tax and sponsor equity investment. Such third-party investment is mandatory to finance the projected capital costs of approximately \$260M needed to purchase wind turbines and other infrastructure and to fund the construction of the facility.

10. Are you submitting information to assist in the determination as to whether the limitation on appraised value is a determining factor in the applicant's decision to invest capital and construct the project in Texas?

The information provided in this Attachment and throughout the Application has been assembled to provide the reviewer with the best possible information to make an assessment and determination of the critical nature of the Limitation on Appraised Value to the feasibility of Las Majadas.

Additional information provided by the Applicant or located by the Comptroller

#### Login Profile Get News Updates Mobile Tablet

Serving 3,500 Willacy and Kenedy County families in Raymondville, Lyford, Sebastian, Port Mansfield, San Perlita, Lasara, Santa Monica, Sarita, Armstrong, and Norias.

Raymondville





News
Front Page
News
Editorial & Columns
Farm & Ranch
County Lifestyles
Obituaries
Legal Notices
Legals (Display)
Crime & Courts
Classifieds

Links
Marketplace
Area Web Links
About/Contact Us
Advertising Rates
Advertiser Index
Submit Classified
Ad Online
Subscribe Online
All Comments
Archived Issues

Video Index

Copyright 2007-2016 The Raymondville Chronicle/News All Rights

### 2016-05-04 / Front Page









### 3rd wind farm coming to county in 2017

BY TONY VINDELL Reporter



MORE WIND TURBINES like this one will be erected in this county. (Photo by TonyVindell)

A new wind farm is coming to Willacy County, making it the third company that will provide energy from wind power here.

Called Own Energy, the company took a big step after the commissioners' court approved a resolution designating what is known as Las Majadas Reinvestment Zone in the eastern part of the county.

The zone will allow Own Energy to get tax abatements from the county.

Robert Peña, vice president with Texas Energy Consultants, said the zone will set the boundaries for the proposed project.

"We don't have the specifics yet," he said. "By doing this it will allow us to establish this renewable project."

According to the project's summary, Las Majadas has the participation of 50 landowners who have agreed to lease more than 15,000 acres of land.

An environmental study is under way for the project that is expected to cost more than \$130 million.

Construction of the wind farm is not expected to get started until 2017.

Once built, Own Energy will become the third such operation in this county after Eon Climates & Renewables and Duke Energy, both of which have about 250 wind turbines.

The resolution was approved during Thursday's meeting of the commissioners' court.

In other business, the commissioners:

- Heard an update from Joe Wetegrove about the assisted living project called La Jarra.

He said a first building is being constructed and two more will follow soon.

He also said they need to solve an issue with AEP that has caused some frustration.

- Agreed to pay up to \$14,000 for the sheriff 's department electrical system and \$2,000 for two advertising pages for a chamber of commerce publication.
- Accepted a request to have the district attorney's office remove Lasara Constable Mario Salazar from office.









Login Profile Get News Updates Mobile Tablet

Serving 3,500 Willacy and Kenedy County families in Raymondville, Lyford, Sebastian, Port Mansfield, San Perlita, Lasara, Santa Monica, Sarita, Armstrong, and Norias.





Front Page News Editorial & Columns Letters Farm & Ranch **County Lifestyles Obituaries** Legals (Display) **Crime & Courts** Classifieds Video Index

Links Marketplace Area Web Links About/Contact Us Advertising Rates Advertiser Index Submit Classified Ad Online Subscribe Online

opyright 2007-2016 The Raymondville

All Comments

Archived Issues

### Print County to consider designating new revinvestment zone

BY TONY VINDELL

for more windmills

2016-04-27 / News

Reporter

A new reinvestment zone that will benefit a new wind farm could be created in Willacy County if it gets the green light of approval by the commissioners' court.

The commissioners will hold a public hearing this Thursday and people are welcome to give their opinions on what is known as Las Majadas Reinvestment Zone- Willacy County.

The zone to be designated is where a third wind farm is to be built.

Called EDF Energy, the project calls for building 100 wind turbines on nearly 15,000 acres of land in the eastern part of the county.

If it happens, it will become the third wind farm after E-On Climate & Renewables and Duke Energy, both of which have more than 260 turbines east of interstate 69.

After the scheduled 10 a.m. public hearing, the commissioners will meet in regular session and will consider a resolution supporting the designation.

In other business, the commissioners will consider:

- Approving a proclamation declaring the month of May as " Motorcycle Safety Awareness Month,"
- Granting the use of the courthouse grounds for Peace Officer's Memorial Day and Police Week from May 15-21.
- Approve a monthly status report update for La Jarra project.
- A request from the district attorney's office to remove Constable Mario Salazar from office.

As the constable for Willacy County Precinct 5, he abandoned his post in the summer of 2015 and no one has been able to contact him.

Salazar ran unopposed in May 2012 and was reelected to another four year term. His post is up for grabs in the May Primary election.

Salazar 's precinct covers Lasara and its surroundings.

Because he is still on the county payroll, a check has been issued to him every month even though it doesn't go out to him.

Salazar's annual salary is \$20,300 and \$29,457 if benefits are included.







April 28, 2016 Regular Meeting

BE IT REMEMBERED, that on the 28th day of April, A.D., 2016 there was held a Regular Meeting of the Honorable Commissioners' Court of Willacy County, Texas at the County Judge's Office, 576 West Main, in the City of Raymondville, Texas for the purpose of transacting the following agenda:

- 1. Call to Order.
- 2. Roll Call/ Establishment of Quorum.
- 3. Invocation/Pledge.
- 4. Public Comment (s).
- 5. Presentation by Mary Ann Saenz (TAC) in regards to Risk Control Reimbursement Program.
- 6. Discussion and Action to approve Proclamation declaring the month of May as "Motorcycle Safety Awareness Month in Willacy County." ACTION REQUIRED
- 7. Approval to use courthouse grounds for Peace Officer's Memorial Day and Police Week from May 15-21, 2016. ACTION REQUIRED
- 8. Discussion and Action to adopt resolution to designate signators for 2016 TXCDBG Contract #7215135. ACTION REQUIRED
- Discussion and Action to approve monthly status report update for La Jarra Project TCF 711322. ACTION REQUIRED
- 10. Consider and take necessary action to withdraw the 2016 DR engineering contract award approved January 14, 2016 to Naismith Engineering Inc., for applicable streets located in Sebastian to avoid duplication of design work already completed by another engineering firm. ACTION REQUIRED
- 11. Consider and approve resolution to act on contract award to Guzman and Munoz Engineering for 2016 DR engineering services related to applicable streets in Sebastian. ACTION REQUIRED
- 12. Discussion and Action to approve the Willacy County Chamber of Commerce for 2016-2017 advertising of two pages in annual tourist guide/directory highlighting tourism in Willacy County and surrounding services. ACTION REQUIRED
- 13. Discussion and Action to approve a resolution and order approving designation of the Las Majadas Reinvestment Zone. ACTION REQUIRED
- 14. Discussion and Action to approve quote for the Sheriff's Department Electrical System. ACTION REQUIRED
- 15. Discussion and Action to approve the Interlocal Agreement between the County of Willacy and the Lower Rio Grande Valley Workforce Development Board. ACTION REQUIRED

April 28, 2016 Regular Meeting

Agenda # 11
IN REGARDS TO CONSIDER AND
APPROVE RESOLUTION TO ACT
ON CONTRACT AWARD TO
GUZMAN AND MUNOZ ENGINEERING
FOR 2016 DR ENGINEERING SERVICES
RELATED TO APPLICABLE STREETS IN
SEBASTIAN

Motion was made by Commissioner Gonzales, seconded by Commissioner De Luna for approval with the same amendment on the last part with Sebastian and Citation Road Projects. Motion was put before the Court by the Chairman. Commissioners Guerra, De Luna, Serrato and Gonzales voting AYE. NAYS NONE. Motion was declared carried.

Agenda # 12
DISCUSSION AND ACTION TO
APPROVE THE WILLACY COUNTY
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE FOR
2016-2017 ADVERTISING OF TWO
PAGES IN ANNUAL TOURIST GUIDE/
DIRECTORY HIGHLIGHTING TOURISM
IN WILLACY COUNTY AND
SURROUNDING SERVICES

Motion was made by Commissioner Gonzales, seconded by Commissioner De Luna for approval of \$1,000.00 for the advertising of the two pages to be paid out from the Hotel/Motel Tax. Motion was put before the Court by the Chairman. Commissioners Guerra, De Luna, Serrato and Gonzales voting AYE. NAYS NONE. Motion was declared carried.

Agenda # 13
DISCUSSION AND ACTION TO
APPROVE A RESOLUTION AND
ORDER APPROVING DESIGNATION
OF THE LAS MAJADAS REINVESTMENT
ZONE

Motion was made by Commissioner Gonzales, seconded by Commissioner Serrato for approval of Resolution and order approving designation of the Las Majadas Reinvestment Zone. Motion was put before the Court by the Chairman. Commissioners Guerra, De Luna, Serrato and Gonzales voting AYE. NAYS NONE. Motion was declared carried.