Chapter 313 Property Value Limitation Financial Impact of the Proposed Bearkat Wind Energy I, LLC Project in the Glasscock County Independent School District (Project # 1148)

Prepared by

MOAK, CASEY & ASSOCIATES

TEXAS SCHOOL FINANCE EXPERTS

December 7, 2016

Executive Summary

Bearkat Wind Energy I, LLC (Company) has requested that the Glasscock County Independent School District (GCISD) consider granting a property value limitation under Chapter 313 of the Tax Code, also known as the Texas Economic Development Act. In an application submitted to GCISD on June 13, 2016 the Company plans to invest $250 million to construct a renewable energy electric generation project. Moak, Casey & Associates (MCA) has been retained to prepare an analysis of this value limitation and help the district navigate the overall application and agreement process.

The Bearkat Wind project is consistent with the state's goal to "encourage large scale capital investments in this state." When enacted as House Bill 1200 in 2001, Chapter 313 of the Tax Code granted eligibility to companies engaged in manufacturing, research and development, and renewable electric energy production to apply to school districts for property value limitations. Subsequent legislative changes expanded eligibility to clean coal projects, nuclear power generation and data centers, among others.

Under the provisions of Chapter 313, GCISD may offer a minimum value limitation of $30 million. This value limitation, under the proposed application, will begin in 2018-19 and remain at that level of taxable value for Maintenance and Operations (M&O) tax purposes for ten years. The entire project value will remain taxable for I&S or debt service purposes for the term of the agreement.

MCA's initial school finance analysis is detailed in this report, incorporating the major legislative changes adopted in May. The overall conclusions are as follows, but please read all of the subsequent details in the report below for more information.

Total Revenue Loss Payment owed to GCISD	$961,347
Total Savings to Company after Revenue Loss Payment. (This does not include any supplemental benefit payments to the district.)	$16,021,166

Application Process

After the school district has submitted an application to the Comptroller's Office (Comptroller), the Comptroller begins reviewing the application for completeness. The purpose of this review is to ensure all necessary information and attachments are included in the application before moving forward with the formal review process. At the time the application is determined complete—typically 4-6 weeks after receipt—the Comptroller will deliver a Completeness Letter to the company and the school district.

The issuance of a Completeness Letter is important because it sets the timeline for the rest of process. From the date of issuance, the Comptroller has 90 days to conduct its full review of the project and provide its certificate for a limitation on appraised value. After the certificate

is received, the district has until the 150th day from the receipt of the Completeness Letter or until December 31st, whichever is earlier, to adopt an agreement.

Each value limitation agreement is unique and to ensure the proper revenue-loss protection and maximum supplemental benefits are in place, an understanding of the school district's finances and a thorough knowledge of the Ch. 313 statute are required. MCA and O'Hanlon, McCollom & Demerath will ensure the best interests of GCISD are secured. After the Comptroller's certificate is received, O'Hanlon, McCollom & Demerath will contact the school district to discuss the value limitation agreement and begin negotiations of the supplemental benefit payment with the Company. A final version of the agreement must be submitted to the Comptroller for review 30 days prior to final adoption by the school district's board of trustees.

At the final board meeting, the school board will review the Value Limitation Agreement and Findings of Fact that detail the project's conformance with state law. In some instances, the school board may also be required to adopt a job waiver or create a reinvestment zone during this meeting, although both will be required in the board's consideration of the Bearkat Wind application. Prior to this meeting, O'Hanlon, McCollom & Demerath will provide the district with the necessary agenda language and any additional action items.

How the 313 Agreement Interacts with Texas School Finance

M&O funding for Texas schools relies on two methods of finance: local school district property taxes and state aid. State aid consists of three components: Tier I, Tier II and additional state aid for tax reduction (ASATR), although ASATR is currently scheduled to be eliminated by the 2017-18 school year. (For more detailed information on the school finance funding system, please review the Texas Education Agency's School Finance 101: Funding of Texas Public Schools.)

Tier I provides state funding based on ADA and special student populations, as well as transportation. The local funds for Tier I are M&O taxes raised at the compressed tax rate—$1.00 per $100 of taxable value for most school districts (less any recapture payments owed to the state from high property-wealth school districts).

Tier II guarantees a specific amount of funding per student in weighted average daily attendance for each penny of a school district's tax effort above a specified level. There are two levels of Tier II funding—funding under the six so-called golden pennies and the eleven so-called copper pennies. Voter approval is required in most cases to access the last two golden pennies and the eleven copper pennies.

Additional State Aid for Tax Reduction (ASATR) guarantees a school district a set amount of state and local M&O funds per student in weighted average daily attendance to compensate for the mandatory reduction in, or compression of, the local M&O tax rate that was adopted in 2005 or 2006. ASATR funding is expected to be eliminated by the 2017-18 school year under current law.

For a school district that approves a Chapter 313 value limitation, the first year is often problematic financially. The implementation of the value limitation often results in an M&O revenue loss to the school district in the first year of the limitation that would not be

reimbursed by the state, but require some type of compensation from the Company under the revenue protection provisions of the agreement. This is because the general school finance formula system calculates state aid entitlements using the property value for the preceding year as certified by the Comptroller.

In most instances smaller revenue losses would be anticipated in years 2-10 of the limitation when the state M&O property values are aligned at the minimum value established by the Board on both the local tax roll and the corresponding state property value study. **If the full value of the project increases significantly during the value limitation period, the revenue losses may be greater than originally estimated.**

A taxpayer receiving a value limitation pays M&O taxes on the reduced value for the project in years 1-10 and receives a tax bill for I&S taxes based on the full project value throughout the qualifying and value limitation period (and thereafter).

Future legislative action on school funding could potentially affect the impact of the value limitation on the school district's finances and result in revenue-loss estimates that differ from the estimates presented in this report.

Underlying School District Data Assumptions

A key element in any analysis of the school finance implications of a Chapter 313 agreement is the provision for revenue protection in the agreement between the school district and the applicant. The agreement calls for a calculation of the revenue impact of the value limitation in years 1-10 of the agreement, under whatever school finance and property tax laws are in effect in each of those years. This meets the statutory requirement under Section 313.027(f)(1) of the Tax Code to provide school district revenue-protection language in the agreement. This approach also reduces guess work as to future changes in school finance and property tax laws.

The general approach used here to analyze the future revenue stream of the school district under a value limitation is to maintain static enrollment and property values in order to isolate the effects of the value limitation under the school finance system. Student enrollment counts are held constant at 312 students in average daily attendance (ADA) in analyzing the effects of the project on the finances of GCISD. The District's local tax base reached $2.3 billion for the 2016 tax year (the most recent year available) and is maintained for the forecast period in order to isolate the effects of the property value limitation. An M&O tax rate of $1.0371 is used throughout this analysis. The impact of any previously-approved Chapter 313 projects is factored into the M&O tax bases used for both models presented below.

GCISD has estimated 2016-17 state property wealth per weighted ADA or WADA of approximately $3,439,687. As a result, GCISD is considered a Chapter 41 or recapture district under the school finance system. Table 1 summarizes the enrollment and property value assumptions for the 15 years that are the subject of this analysis.

Recent legislative changes are incorporated into these estimates. The basic allotment was raised from $5,040 to $5,140 per WADA, which is used throughout the state aid

calculations. The Tier II guaranteed yield level for up to six cents of tax effort was increased from $61.86 in 2014-15 to $74.28 and $77.53, respectively, for the 2015-16 and 2016-17 school years.

In addition, GCISD is eligible for a "fractional" funding adjustment, since it imposed less than $1.50 M&O tax rate for the 2006 tax year. Given the analysis shown below, it appears that GCISD would benefit from shifting tax effort to take advantage of the fractional funding fix approved by legislators and that change is not incorporated in these estimates.

The mandated school district homestead exemption increase from $15,000 to $25,000 has been incorporated into the analysis. Given that the models below focus exclusively on the Bearkat Wind Energy I, LLC project values, however, the homestead exemption change does not have a significant impact on this analysis.

The M&O tax rate for 2015 is maintained at $1.0371 per $100. Although the impact of the Chapter 313 project value returning to the total tax roll for M&O funding purposes could result in a lower M&O tax rate that analysis is beyond the scope of this revenue report.

Table 1 – Base District Information with Bearkat Wind Project Value and Limitation Values

Year of Agreement	School Year	ADA	WADA	M&O Tax Rate	I&S Tax Rate	CAD Value with Project	CAD Value with Limitation	CPTD with Project	CPTD With Limitation	CPTD Value with Project per WADA	CPTD Value with Limitation per WADA
QTP0	2016-17	312.00	689.79	$1.0371	$0.0800	$2,323,784,391	$2,323,784,391	$2,372,668,705	$2,372,668,705	$3,439,687	$3,439,687
QTP1	2017-18	312.00	689.79	$1.0371	$0.0800	$2,323,784,391	$2,323,784,391	$2,291,548,977	$2,291,548,977	$3,322,087	$3,322,087
QTP2/VL1	2018-19	312.00	689.79	$1.0371	$0.0800	$2,573,784,391	$2,353,784,391	$2,291,548,977	$2,291,548,977	$3,322,087	$3,322,087
VL2	2019-20	312.00	689.79	$1.0371	$0.0800	$2,745,864,315	$2,538,364,315	$2,541,548,977	$2,321,548,977	$3,684,515	$3,365,578
VL3	2020-21	312.00	689.79	$1.0371	$0.0800	$2,729,472,717	$2,534,472,717	$2,713,628,902	$2,506,128,902	$3,933,981	$3,633,166
VL4	2021-22	312.00	689.79	$1.0371	$0.0800	$2,713,158,950	$2,530,658,950	$2,697,237,303	$2,502,237,303	$3,910,218	$3,627,524
VL5	2022-23	312.00	689.79	$1.0371	$0.0800	$2,712,876,459	$2,542,876,459	$2,680,923,537	$2,498,423,537	$3,886,568	$3,621,996
VL6	2023-24	312.00	689.79	$1.0371	$0.0800	$2,706,814,718	$2,549,314,718	$2,680,641,046	$2,510,641,046	$3,886,159	$3,639,707
VL7	2024-25	312.00	689.79	$1.0371	$0.0800	$2,765,240,158	$2,620,240,158	$2,674,579,304	$2,517,079,304	$3,877,371	$3,649,041
VL8	2025-26	312.00	689.79	$1.0371	$0.0800	$2,739,038,668	$2,606,538,668	$2,733,004,745	$2,588,004,745	$3,962,071	$3,751,863
VL9	2026-27	312.00	689.79	$1.0371	$0.0800	$2,626,622,841	$2,626,622,841	$2,706,803,254	$2,574,303,254	$3,924,086	$3,731,999
VL10	2027-28	312.00	689.79	$1.0371	$0.0800	$2,611,741,554	$2,611,741,554	$2,594,387,427	$2,594,387,427	$3,761,116	$3,761,116
VP1	2028-29	312.00	689.79	$1.0371	$0.0800	$2,692,542,237	$2,692,542,237	$2,579,506,140	$2,579,506,140	$3,739,542	$3,739,542
VP2	2029-30	312.00	689.79	$1.0371	$0.0800	$2,666,476,439	$2,666,476,439	$2,660,306,823	$2,660,306,823	$3,856,680	$3,856,680
VP3	2030-31	312.00	689.79	$1.0371	$0.0800	$2,640,999,453	$2,640,999,453	$2,634,241,026	$2,634,241,026	$3,818,892	$3,818,892
VP4	2031-32	312.00	689.79	$1.0371	$0.0800	$2,616,202,799	$2,616,202,799	$2,608,764,039	$2,608,764,039	$3,781,958	$3,781,958
VP5	2032-33	312.00	689.79	$1.0371	$0.0800	$2,592,041,855	$2,592,041,855	$2,583,967,386	$2,583,967,386	$3,746,010	$3,746,010

***Basic Allotment: $5,140; AISD Yield: $77.53; Equalized Wealth: $514,000 per WADA**

QTP=	Qualifying Time Period
VL=	Value Limitation
VP=	Viable Presence

M&O Impact of the Bearkat Wind project on GCISD

School finance models were prepared for GCISD under these assumptions through the 2032-33 school year. Under the proposed agreement, a model is established to make a calculation of the "Baseline Revenue Model" by adding the total value of the project to the

model, but without assuming that a value limitation is approved. These model results are detailed in Table 2.

Additionally, a separate model is established to make a calculation of the "Value Limitation Revenue Model" by adding the project's limited value of $30 million to the model. These results are shown in Table 3.

Table 4 displays the results of the comparison between the Baseline Revenue Model and the Value Limitation Revenue Model (Tables 2 and 3). The difference between the two models indicates there will be a total revenue loss of $961,347 over the course of the Agreement, with nearly all of this loss reflected in the first limitation year (2018-19). Nearly all of the reduction in M&O taxes under the limitation agreement is offset through a reduction in recapture costs owed to the state under current law.

Table 2– "Baseline Revenue Model"--Project Value Added with No Value Limitation

Year of Agreement	School Year	M&O Taxes @ Compressed Rate	State Aid	Additional State Aid-Hold Harmless	Recapture Costs	Additional Local M&O Collections	State Aid From Additional M&O Tax Collections	Recapture from the Additional Local Tax Effort	Homestead Hold Harmless	Other State Aid	Total General Fund
QTP0	2016-17	$26,926,461	$150,899	$0	-$22,833,802	$1,653,452	$0	$0	$946	$12,250	$5,910,207
QTP1	2017-18	$26,926,461	$96,035	$0	-$22,691,365	$1,653,452	$0	$0	$946	$12,250	$5,997,779
QTP2/VL1	2018-19	$29,363,349	$120,995	$0	-$24,751,213	$1,803,092	$0	$0	$1,032	$12,250	$6,549,505
VL2	2019-20	$31,008,671	$120,995	$0	-$26,613,897	$1,904,125	$0	$0	$1,090	$12,250	$6,433,234
VL3	2020-21	$30,849,269	$120,995	$0	-$26,749,634	$1,894,336	$0	$0	$1,084	$12,250	$6,128,300
VL4	2021-22	$30,690,613	$120,995	$0	-$26,587,339	$1,884,594	$0	$0	$1,079	$12,250	$6,122,192
VL5	2022-23	$30,685,465	$120,995	$0	-$26,558,322	$1,884,278	$0	$0	$1,079	$12,250	$6,145,744
VL6	2023-24	$30,624,978	$120,995	$0	-$26,505,408	$1,880,564	$0	$0	$1,076	$12,250	$6,134,456
VL7	2024-25	$31,181,992	$120,995	$0	-$26,979,401	$1,914,768	$0	$0	$1,096	$12,250	$6,251,700
VL8	2025-26	$30,928,655	$120,995	$0	-$26,847,297	$1,899,211	$0	$0	$1,087	$12,250	$6,114,901
VL9	2026-27	$30,998,835	$120,995	$0	-$26,869,445	$1,903,521	$0	$0	$1,090	$12,250	$6,167,245
VL10	2027-28	$30,734,201	$120,995	$0	-$26,465,037	$1,887,271	$0	$0	$1,080	$12,250	$6,290,760
VP1	2028-29	$30,457,532	$120,995	$0	-$26,202,165	$1,870,281	$0	$0	$1,071	$12,250	$6,259,963
VP2	2029-30	$30,207,937	$120,995	$0	-$26,112,987	$1,854,955	$0	$0	$1,062	$12,250	$6,084,212
VP3	2030-31	$29,963,980	$120,995	$0	-$25,862,028	$1,839,974	$0	$0	$1,053	$12,250	$6,076,224
VP4	2031-32	$29,726,537	$120,995	$0	-$25,617,470	$1,825,394	$0	$0	$1,045	$12,250	$6,068,751
VP5	2032-33	$29,495,182	$120,995	$0	-$25,379,090	$1,811,187	$0	$0	$1,037	$12,250	$6,061,561

QTP= Qualifying Time Period
VL= Value Limitation
VP= Viable Presence

Table 3– "Value Limitation Revenue Model"--Project Value Added with Value Limit

Year of Agreement	School Year	M&O Taxes @ Compressed Rate	State Aid	Additional State Aid-Hold Harmless	Recapture Costs	Additional Local M&O Collections	State Aid From Additional M&O Tax Collections	Recapture from the Additional Local Tax Effort	Homestead Hold Harmless	Other State Aid	Total General Fund
QTP0	2016-17	$26,926,461	$150,899	$0	-$22,833,802	$1,653,452	$0	$0	$946	$12,250	$5,910,207
QTP1	2017-18	$26,926,461	$96,035	$0	-$22,691,365	$1,653,452	$0	$0	$946	$12,250	$5,997,779
QTP2/VL1	2018-19	$27,213,729	$120,995	$0	-$22,934,187	$1,671,092	$0	$0	$957	$12,250	$6,084,836
VL2	2019-20	$28,981,189	$120,995	$0	-$24,486,126	$1,779,625	$0	$0	$1,019	$12,250	$6,408,952
VL3	2020-21	$28,943,924	$120,995	$0	-$24,780,120	$1,777,336	$0	$0	$1,017	$12,250	$6,075,402
VL4	2021-22	$28,907,405	$120,995	$0	-$24,742,408	$1,775,094	$0	$0	$1,016	$12,250	$6,074,353
VL5	2022-23	$29,024,395	$120,995	$0	-$24,836,543	$1,782,278	$0	$0	$1,020	$12,250	$6,104,395
VL6	2023-24	$29,086,045	$120,995	$0	-$24,909,530	$1,786,064	$0	$0	$1,022	$12,250	$6,096,846
VL7	2024-25	$29,765,197	$120,995	$0	-$25,503,524	$1,827,768	$0	$0	$1,046	$12,250	$6,223,732
VL8	2025-26	$29,633,998	$120,995	$0	-$25,505,202	$1,819,711	$0	$0	$1,042	$12,250	$6,082,794
VL9	2026-27	$29,826,315	$120,995	$0	-$25,649,423	$1,831,521	$0	$0	$1,048	$12,250	$6,142,706
VL10	2027-28	$29,683,818	$120,995	$0	-$25,558,201	$1,822,771	$0	$0	$1,043	$12,250	$6,082,676
VP1	2028-29	$30,457,532	$120,995	$0	-$26,202,165	$1,870,281	$0	$0	$1,071	$12,250	$6,259,963
VP2	2029-30	$30,207,937	$120,995	$0	-$26,112,987	$1,854,955	$0	$0	$1,062	$12,250	$6,084,212
VP3	2030-31	$29,963,980	$120,995	$0	-$25,862,028	$1,839,974	$0	$0	$1,053	$12,250	$6,076,224
VP4	2031-32	$29,726,537	$120,995	$0	-$25,617,470	$1,825,394	$0	$0	$1,045	$12,250	$6,068,751
VP5	2032-33	$29,495,182	$120,995	$0	-$25,379,090	$1,811,187	$0	$0	$1,037	$12,250	$6,061,561

QTP= Qualifying Time Period
VL= Value Limitation
VP= Viable Presence

Table 4 – Value Limit less Project Value with No Limit

Year of Agreement	School Year	M&O Taxes @ Compressed Rate	State Aid	Additional State Aid-Hold Harmless	Recapture Costs	Additional Local M&O Collections	State Aid From Additional M&O Tax Collections	Recapture from the Additional Local Tax Effort	Homestead Hold Harmless	Other State Aid	Total General Fund
QTP0	2016-17	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0
QTP1	2017-18	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0
QTP2/VL1	2018-19	-$2,149,620	$0	$0	$1,817,026	-$132,000	$0	$0	-$76	$0	-$464,669
VL2	2019-20	-$2,027,482	$0	$0	$2,127,771	-$124,500	$0	$0	-$71	$0	-$24,283
VL3	2020-21	-$1,905,345	$0	$0	$1,969,514	-$117,000	$0	$0	-$67	$0	-$52,898
VL4	2021-22	-$1,783,208	$0	$0	$1,844,931	-$109,500	$0	$0	-$63	$0	-$47,840
VL5	2022-23	-$1,661,070	$0	$0	$1,721,779	-$102,000	$0	$0	-$58	$0	-$41,349
VL6	2023-24	-$1,538,933	$0	$0	$1,595,877	-$94,500	$0	$0	-$54	$0	-$37,610
VL7	2024-25	-$1,416,795	$0	$0	$1,475,877	-$87,000	$0	$0	-$50	$0	-$27,968
VL8	2025-26	-$1,294,657	$0	$0	$1,342,095	-$79,500	$0	$0	-$46	$0	-$32,107
VL9	2026-27	-$1,172,520	$0	$0	$1,220,022	-$72,000	$0	$0	-$41	$0	-$24,539
VL10	2027-28	-$1,050,383	$0	$0	$906,836	-$64,500	$0	$0	-$37	$0	-$208,084
VP1	2028-29	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0
VP2	2029-30	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0
VP3	2030-31	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0
VP4	2031-32	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0
VP5	2032-33	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0

QTP= Qualifying Time Period
VL= Value Limitation
VP= Viable Presence

M&O Impact on the Taxpayer

Table 5 summarizes the impact of the property value limitation in terms of the potential tax savings to the taxpayer under the property value limitation agreement. The focus of this

table is on the M&O tax rate only. A $1.0371 M&O tax rate is used in 2016-17 (the most recent year available) and thereafter.

Under the assumptions used here, the potential tax savings from the value limitation total $17.0 million over the life of the agreement. The GCISD revenue losses are expected to total approximately $961,347 over the course of the agreement. In total, the potential net tax benefits (after hold-harmless payments are made) are estimated to total $16,021,166 million, prior to any negotiations with Bearkat Wind on supplemental payments.

Table 5 - Estimated Financial Impact of the Bearkat Wind Project Property Value Limitation Request Submitted to GCISD at $1.0371 M&O Tax Rate

Year of Agreement	School Year	Project Value	Estimated Taxable Value	Value Savings	Assumed M&O Tax Rate	Taxes Before Value Limit	Taxes after Value Limit	Tax Savings @ Projected M&O Rate	School District Revenue Losses	Estimated Net Tax Benefits
QTP0	2016-17	$0	$0	$0	$1.037	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0
QTP1	2017-18	$0	$0	$0	$1.037	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0
QTP2/VL1	2018-19	$250,000,000	$30,000,000	$220,000,000	$1.037	$2,592,750	$311,130	$2,281,620	-$464,669	$1,816,951
VL2	2019-20	$237,500,000	$30,000,000	$207,500,000	$1.037	$2,463,113	$311,130	$2,151,983	-$24,283	$2,127,700
VL3	2020-21	$225,000,000	$30,000,000	$195,000,000	$1.037	$2,333,475	$311,130	$2,022,345	-$52,898	$1,969,447
VL4	2021-22	$212,500,000	$30,000,000	$182,500,000	$1.037	$2,203,838	$311,130	$1,892,708	-$47,840	$1,844,868
VL5	2022-23	$200,000,000	$30,000,000	$170,000,000	$1.037	$2,074,200	$311,130	$1,763,070	-$41,349	$1,721,721
VL6	2023-24	$187,500,000	$30,000,000	$157,500,000	$1.037	$1,944,563	$311,130	$1,633,433	-$37,610	$1,595,823
VL7	2024-25	$175,000,000	$30,000,000	$145,000,000	$1.037	$1,814,925	$311,130	$1,503,795	-$27,968	$1,475,827
VL8	2025-26	$162,500,000	$30,000,000	$132,500,000	$1.037	$1,685,288	$311,130	$1,374,158	-$32,107	$1,342,050
VL9	2026-27	$150,000,000	$30,000,000	$120,000,000	$1.037	$1,555,650	$311,130	$1,244,520	-$24,539	$1,219,981
VL10	2027-28	$137,500,000	$30,000,000	$107,500,000	$1.037	$1,426,013	$311,130	$1,114,883	-$208,084	$906,799
VP1	2028-29	$125,000,000	$125,000,000	$0	$1.037	$1,296,375	$1,296,375	$0	$0	$0
VP2	2029-30	$112,500,000	$112,500,000	$0	$1.037	$1,166,738	$1,166,738	$0	$0	$0
VP3	2030-31	$100,000,000	$100,000,000	$0	$1.037	$1,037,100	$1,037,100	$0	$0	$0
VP4	2031-32	$87,500,000	$87,500,000	$0	$1.037	$907,463	$907,463	$0	$0	$0
VP5	2032-33	$75,000,000	$75,000,000	$0	$1.037	$777,825	$777,825	$0	$0	$0
						$25,279,313	**$8,296,800**	**$16,982,513**	**-$961,347**	**$16,021,166**

QTP= Qualifying Time Period
VL= Value Limitation
VP= Viable Presence

I&S Funding Impact on School District

The project remains fully taxable for debt services taxes, with GCISD currently levying a $0.0800 I&S rate. While the value of the Bearkat Wind project is expected to depreciate over the life of the agreement and beyond, local taxpayers should benefit from the addition of the Bearkat Wind project to the local I&S tax roll.

The project is not expected to affect GCISD in terms of enrollment. Continued expansion of the project and related development could result in additional employment in the area and an increase in the school-age population, but this project is unlikely to have much impact on a stand-alone basis.

Note: School district revenue-loss estimates are subject to change based on numerous factors, including:

- **Legislative and Texas Education Agency administrative changes to the underlying school finance formulas used in these calculations.**
- **Legislative changes addressing property value appraisals and exemptions.**
- **Year-to-year appraisals of project values and district taxable values.**
- **Changes in school district tax rates and student enrollment.**