



GLENN HEGAR TEXAS COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

P.O.Box 13528 • Austin, TX 78711-3528

April 19, 2016

Johnny Pineda
Superintendent
Raymondville Independent School District
419 FM 3168
Raymondville, Texas 78580

Dear Superintendent Pineda:

On January 20, 2016, the Comptroller issued written notice that Magic Valley Wind Farm II, LLC (the applicant) submitted a completed application (Application #1104) for a limitation on appraised value under the provisions of Tax Code Chapter 313¹. This application was originally submitted on September 8, 2015, to the Raymondville Independent School District (the school district) by the applicant.

This presents the results of the Comptroller's review of the application and determinations required:

- 1) under Section 313.025(h) to determine if the property meets the requirements of Section 313.024 for eligibility for a limitation on appraised value under Chapter 313, Subchapter C; and
- 2) under Section 313.025(d), to issue a certificate for a limitation on appraised value of the property and provide the certificate to the governing body of the school district or provide the governing body a written explanation of the comptroller's decision not to issue a certificate, using the criteria set out in Section 313.026.

Determination required by 313.025(h)

- | | |
|-------------------|---|
| Sec. 313.024(a) | Applicant is subject to tax imposed by Chapter 171. |
| Sec. 313.024(b) | Applicant is proposing to use the property for an eligible project. |
| Sec. 313.024(d) | Applicant has requested a waiver to create the required number of new qualifying jobs and pay all jobs created that are not qualifying jobs a wage that exceeds the county average weekly wage for all jobs in the county where the jobs are located. |
| Sec. 313.024(d-2) | Not applicable to Application #1104. |

Based on the information provided by the applicant, the Comptroller has determined that the property meets the requirements of Section 313.024 for eligibility for a limitation on appraised value under Chapter 313, Subchapter C.

¹ All statutory references are to the Texas Tax Code, unless otherwise noted.

Certificate decision required by 313.025(d)

Determination required by 313.026(c)(1)

The Comptroller has determined that the project proposed by the applicant is reasonably likely to generate tax revenue in an amount sufficient to offset the school district maintenance and operations ad valorem tax revenue lost as a result of the agreement before the 25th anniversary of the beginning of the limitation period. See Attachment B.

Determination required by 313.026(c)(2)

The Comptroller has determined that the limitation on appraised value is a determining factor in the applicant's decision to invest capital and construct the project in this state. See Attachment C.

Based on these determinations, the Comptroller issues a certificate for a limitation on appraised value. This certificate is contingent on the school district's receipt and acceptance of the Texas Education Agency's determination per 313.025(b-1).

The Comptroller's review of the application assumes the accuracy and completeness of the statements in the application. If the application is approved by the school district, the applicant shall perform according to the provisions of the Texas Economic Development Act Agreement (Form 50-826) executed with the school district. The school district shall comply with and enforce the stipulations, provisions, terms, and conditions of the agreement, applicable Texas Administrative Code and Chapter 313, per TAC 9.1054(i)(3).

This certificate is no longer valid if the application is modified, the information presented in the application changes, or the limitation agreement does not conform to the application. Additionally, this certificate is contingent on the school district approving and executing the agreement by December 31, 2016.

Note that any building or improvement existing as of the application review start date of January 20, 2016, or any tangible personal property placed in service prior to that date may not become "Qualified Property" as defined by 313.021(2) and the Texas Administrative Code.

Should you have any questions, please contact Korry Castillo, Director, Data Analysis & Transparency, at korry.castillo@cpa.texas.gov or by phone at 1-800-531-5441, ext. 3-3806, or direct in Austin at 512-463-3806.

Sincerely,



Mike Reissig
Deputy Comptroller

Enclosure

cc: Korry Castillo

Attachment A – Economic Impact Analysis

The following tables summarize the Comptroller’s economic impact analysis of Magic Valley Wind Farm II, LLC (the project) applying to Raymondville Independent School District (the district), as required by Tax Code, 313.026 and Texas Administrative Code 9.1055(d)(2).

Table 1 is a summary of investment, employment and tax impact of Magic Valley Wind Farm II, LLC.

Applicant	Magic Valley Wind Farm II, LLC
Tax Code, 313.024 Eligibility Category	Renewable Energy Electric Generation
School District	Raymondville ISD
2015-16 Enrollment in School District	1,931
County	Willacy
Proposed Total Investment in District	\$84,240,000
Proposed Qualified Investment	\$84,240,000
Limitation Amount	\$20,000,000
Qualifying Time Period (Full Years)	2017-2018
Number of new qualifying jobs committed to by applicant	2*
Number of new non-qualifying jobs estimated by applicant	0
Average weekly wage of qualifying jobs committed to by applicant	\$717.48
Minimum weekly wage required for each qualifying job by Tax Code, 313.021(5)(A)	\$717.48
Minimum annual wage committed to by applicant for qualified jobs	\$37,309
Minimum weekly wage required for non-qualifying jobs	\$716
Minimum annual wage required for non-qualifying jobs	\$37,252
Investment per Qualifying Job	\$42,120,000
Estimated M&O levy without any limit (15 years)	\$8,303,022
Estimated M&O levy with Limitation (15 years)	\$3,811,132
Estimated gross M&O tax benefit (15 years)	\$4,491,890
* Applicant is requesting district to waive requirement to create minimum number of qualifying jobs pursuant to Tax Code, 313.025 (f-1).	

Table 4 examines the estimated direct impact on ad valorem taxes to the school district and Willacy County, with all property tax incentives sought being granted using estimated market value from the application. The project has applied for a value limitation under Chapter 313, Tax Code and tax abatement with the county.

The difference noted in the last line is the difference between the totals in Table 3 and Table 4.

Year	Estimated Taxable Value for I&S	Estimated Taxable Value for M&O	Tax Rate ¹	Raymondville ISD I&S Tax Levy	Raymondville ISD M&O Tax Levy	Raymondville ISD M&O and I&S Tax Levies	Willacy County Tax Levy	Willacy County Hospital District Tax Levy	Willacy County Navigation District Tax Levy	Willacy County Drinage District #2	Willacy County Emergency Services District Tax Levy	Estimated Total Property Taxes	
			0.2984	1.0400			0.5699	0.0384	0.8869	0.0800	0.0654		
2017	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
2018	\$77,062,500	\$20,000,000		\$229,955	\$208,000	\$437,955	\$65,877	\$29,615	\$683,467	\$61,650	\$50,430	\$1,328,994	
2019	\$73,980,000	\$20,000,000		\$220,756	\$208,000	\$428,756	\$63,242	\$28,431	\$656,129	\$59,184	\$48,413	\$1,284,154	
2020	\$70,897,500	\$20,000,000		\$211,558	\$208,000	\$419,558	\$60,607	\$27,246	\$628,790	\$56,718	\$46,395	\$1,239,314	
2021	\$67,815,000	\$20,000,000		\$202,360	\$208,000	\$410,360	\$57,972	\$26,061	\$601,451	\$54,252	\$44,378	\$1,194,474	
2022	\$64,732,500	\$20,000,000		\$193,162	\$208,000	\$401,162	\$55,337	\$24,877	\$574,113	\$51,786	\$42,361	\$1,149,635	
2023	\$61,650,000	\$20,000,000		\$183,964	\$208,000	\$391,964	\$52,702	\$23,692	\$546,774	\$49,320	\$40,344	\$1,104,795	
2024	\$58,567,500	\$20,000,000		\$174,765	\$208,000	\$382,765	\$50,066	\$22,507	\$519,435	\$46,854	\$38,327	\$1,059,955	
2025	\$55,485,000	\$20,000,000		\$165,567	\$208,000	\$373,567	\$47,431	\$21,323	\$492,096	\$44,388	\$36,309	\$1,015,115	
2026	\$52,402,500	\$20,000,000		\$156,369	\$208,000	\$364,369	\$44,796	\$20,138	\$464,758	\$41,922	\$34,292	\$970,276	
2027	\$49,320,000	\$20,000,000		\$147,171	\$208,000	\$355,171	\$42,161	\$18,954	\$437,419	\$39,456	\$32,275	\$925,436	
2028	\$46,237,500	\$46,237,500		\$137,973	\$480,870	\$618,843	\$263,508	\$17,769	\$410,080	\$36,990	\$30,258	\$1,377,447	
2029	\$43,155,000	\$43,155,000		\$128,775	\$448,812	\$577,587	\$245,940	\$16,584	\$382,742	\$34,524	\$28,241	\$1,285,618	
2030	\$40,072,500	\$40,072,500		\$119,576	\$416,754	\$536,330	\$228,373	\$15,400	\$355,403	\$32,058	\$26,223	\$1,193,788	
2031	\$36,990,000	\$36,990,000		\$110,378	\$384,696	\$495,074	\$210,806	\$14,215	\$328,064	\$29,592	\$24,206	\$1,101,958	
						Total	\$6,193,461	\$1,488,817	\$306,813	\$7,080,721	\$638,694	\$522,452	\$16,230,958
						Diff	\$4,491,890	\$3,061,079	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,552,969
Assumes School Value Limitation and Tax Abatements with Willacy County.													

Source: CPA, Magic Valley Wind Farm II, LLC

¹Tax Rate per \$100 Valuation

Disclaimer: This examination is based on information from the application submitted to the school district and forwarded to the comptroller. It is intended to meet the statutory requirement of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code and is not intended for any other purpose.

Attachment B – Tax Revenue over 25 Years

This represents the Comptroller’s determination that Magic Valley Wind Farm II, LLC (project) is reasonably likely to generate, before the 25th anniversary of the beginning of the limitation period, tax revenue in an amount sufficient to offset the school district maintenance and operations ad valorem tax revenue lost as a result of the agreement. This evaluation is based on an analysis of the estimated M&O portion of the school district property tax levy directly related to this project, using estimated taxable values provided in the application.

	Tax Year	Estimated ISD M&O Tax Levy Generated (Annual)	Estimated ISD M&O Tax Levy Generated (Cumulative)	Estimated ISD M&O Tax Levy Loss as Result of Agreement (Annual)	Estimated ISD M&O Tax Levy Loss as Result of Agreement (Cumulative)
Limitation Pre-Years	2015	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	2016	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	2017	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Limitation Period (10 Years)	2018	\$208,000	\$208,000	\$593,450	\$593,450
	2019	\$208,000	\$416,000	\$561,392	\$1,154,842
	2020	\$208,000	\$624,000	\$529,334	\$1,684,176
	2021	\$208,000	\$832,000	\$497,276	\$2,181,452
	2022	\$208,000	\$1,040,000	\$465,218	\$2,646,670
	2023	\$208,000	\$1,248,000	\$433,160	\$3,079,830
	2024	\$208,000	\$1,456,000	\$401,102	\$3,480,932
	2025	\$208,000	\$1,664,000	\$369,044	\$3,849,976
	2026	\$208,000	\$1,872,000	\$336,986	\$4,186,962
	2027	\$208,000	\$2,080,000	\$304,928	\$4,491,890
Maintain Viable Presence (5 Years)	2028	\$480,870	\$2,560,870	\$0	\$4,491,890
	2029	\$448,812	\$3,009,682	\$0	\$4,491,890
	2030	\$416,754	\$3,426,436	\$0	\$4,491,890
	2031	\$384,696	\$3,811,132	\$0	\$4,491,890
	2032	\$352,638	\$4,163,770	\$0	\$4,491,890
Additional Years as Required by 313.026(c)(1) (10 Years)	2033	\$320,580	\$4,484,350	\$0	\$4,491,890
	2034	\$288,522	\$4,772,872	\$0	\$4,491,890
	2035	\$256,464	\$5,029,336	\$0	\$4,491,890
	2036	\$224,406	\$5,253,742	\$0	\$4,491,890
	2037	\$192,348	\$5,446,090	\$0	\$4,491,890
	2038	\$160,290	\$5,606,380	\$0	\$4,491,890
	2039	\$160,290	\$5,766,670	\$0	\$4,491,890
	2040	\$160,290	\$5,926,960	\$0	\$4,491,890
	2041	\$160,290	\$6,087,250	\$0	\$4,491,890
	2042	\$160,290	\$6,247,540	\$0	\$4,491,890
		\$6,247,540	is greater than	\$4,491,890	

Analysis Summary	
Is the project reasonably likely to generate tax revenue in an amount sufficient to offset the M&O levy loss as a result of the limitation agreement?	Yes

NOTE: The analysis above only takes into account this project’s estimated impact on the M&O portion of the school district property tax levy directly related to this project.

Source: CPA, Magic Valley Wind Farm II, LLC

Disclaimer: This examination is based on information from the application submitted to the school district and forwarded to the comptroller. It is intended to meet the statutory requirement of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code and is not intended for any other purpose.

Attachment C – Limitation as a Determining Factor

Tax Code 313.026 states that the Comptroller may not issue a certificate for a limitation on appraised value under this chapter for property described in an application unless the comptroller determines that “the limitation on appraised value is a determining factor in the applicant's decision to invest capital and construct the project in this state.” This represents the basis for the Comptroller’s determination.

Methodology

Texas Administrative Code 9.1055(d) states the Comptroller shall review any information available to the Comptroller including:

- the application, including the responses to the questions in Section 8 (Limitation as a Determining Factor);
- public documents or statements by the applicant concerning business operations or site location issues or in which the applicant is a subject;
- statements by officials of the applicant, public documents or statements by governmental or industry officials concerning business operations or site location issues;
- existing investment and operations at or near the site or in the state that may impact the proposed project;
- announced real estate transactions, utility records, permit requests, industry publications or other sources that may provide information helpful in making the determination; and
- market information, raw materials or other production inputs, availability, existing facility locations, committed incentives, infrastructure issues, utility issues, location of buyers, nature of market, supply chains, other known sites under consideration.

Determination

The Comptroller has determined that the limitation on appraised value is a determining factor in the Magic Valley Wind Farm II, LLC decision to invest capital and construct the project in this state. This is based on information available, including information provided by the applicant. Specifically, the comptroller notes the following:

- Magic Valley Wind Farm II, LLC is a re-application of Agreement #360.
- Per the company, Agreement #360 was not able to move forward due to the Federal Aviation Administration evaluation taking much longer than expected and the ERCOT system experiencing transmission constraints that prohibited the project from connecting to the system as originally planned.
- Per the company, several projects were considered in Texas, Oklahoma, Indiana and Illinois.
- Per the company, the economics of the energy market also changed during the delays and alterations to the design were made to make the project more feasible.
- A February 11, 2016 article by the Valley Star notes the County approving a 70-percent tax break but did not negotiate an agreement in which the company would make payments in lieu of taxes.
- An August 27, 2015 article by the Valley Star notes the project on hold waiting for Federal Aviation Administration approval.

Supporting Information

- a) Section 8 of the Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value
- b) Attachments provided in Tab 5 of the Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value
- c) Additional information provided by the Applicant or located by the Comptroller

Disclaimer: This examination is based on information from the application submitted to the school district and forwarded to the comptroller. It is intended to meet the statutory requirement of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code and is not intended for any other purpose.

Supporting Information

**Section 8 of the Application for
a Limitation on Appraised Value**

SECTION 6: Eligibility Under Tax Code Chapter 313.024

1. Are you an entity subject to the tax under Tax Code, Chapter 171? Yes No
2. The property will be used for one of the following activities:
 - (1) manufacturing Yes No
 - (2) research and development Yes No
 - (3) a clean coal project, as defined by Section 5.001, Water Code Yes No
 - (4) an advanced clean energy project, as defined by Section 382.003, Health and Safety Code Yes No
 - (5) renewable energy electric generation Yes No
 - (6) electric power generation using integrated gasification combined cycle technology Yes No
 - (7) nuclear electric power generation Yes No
 - (8) a computer center that is used as an integral part or as a necessary auxiliary part for the activity conducted by applicant in one or more activities described by Subdivisions (1) through (7) Yes No
 - (9) a Texas Priority Project, as defined by 313.024(e)(7) and TAC 9.1051 Yes No
3. Are you requesting that any of the land be classified as qualified investment? Yes No
4. Will any of the proposed qualified investment be leased under a capitalized lease? Yes No
5. Will any of the proposed qualified investment be leased under an operating lease? Yes No
6. Are you including property that is owned by a person other than the applicant? Yes No
7. Will any property be pooled or proposed to be pooled with property owned by the applicant in determining the amount of your qualified investment? Yes No

SECTION 7: Project Description

1. In **Tab 4**, attach a detailed description of the scope of the proposed project, including, at a minimum, the type and planned use of real and tangible personal property, the nature of the business, a timeline for property construction or installation, and any other relevant information.
2. Check the project characteristics that apply to the proposed project:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Land has no existing improvements	<input type="checkbox"/> Land has existing improvements (<i>complete Section 13</i>)
<input type="checkbox"/> Expansion of existing operation on the land (<i>complete Section 13</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/> Relocation within Texas

SECTION 8: Limitation as Determining Factor

1. Does the applicant currently own the land on which the proposed project will occur? Yes No
2. Has the applicant entered into any agreements, contracts or letters of intent related to the proposed project? Yes No
3. Does the applicant have current business activities at the location where the proposed project will occur? Yes No
4. Has the applicant made public statements in SEC filings or other documents regarding its intentions regarding the proposed project location? Yes No
5. Has the applicant received any local or state permits for activities on the proposed project site? Yes No
6. Has the applicant received commitments for state or local incentives for activities at the proposed project site? Yes No
7. Is the applicant evaluating other locations not in Texas for the proposed project? Yes No
8. Has the applicant provided capital investment or return on investment information for the proposed project in comparison with other alternative investment opportunities? Yes No
9. Has the applicant provided information related to the applicant's inputs, transportation and markets for the proposed project? Yes No
10. Are you submitting information to assist in the determination as to whether the limitation on appraised value is a determining factor in the applicant's decision to invest capital and construct the project in Texas? Yes No

Chapter 313.026(e) states "the applicant may submit information to the Comptroller that would provide a basis for an affirmative determination under Subsection (c)(2)." If you answered "yes" to any of the questions in Section 8, attach supporting information in Tab 5.

Supporting Information

**Attachments provided in Tab 5
of the Application for a
Limitation on Appraised Value**

Magic Valley Wind Farm II, LLC
Application for Appraised Value Limitations on Qualified Property

Tab 5

Section 8 — Limitation as Determining Factor

- 1) N/A
- 2) Magic Valley Wind Farm II, LLC has entered into contracts for work for preliminary land work.
- 3) N/A
- 4) N/A
- 5) N/A
- 6) Magic Valley Wind Farm II, LLC is located entirely in Willacy County. The property is in a Reinvestment Zone, created by Willacy County. The project has received a property tax abatement for 10 years from Willacy County.
- 7) The Company is considering several projects in Texas, Oklahoma, Indiana, and Illinois. The Company has received tax incentives on several of these projects which are considered very favorably in the analysis of the investment. It is important to note that no final investment decisions have been made on this project. No contracts for the sale of power from the project have been executed.
- 8) N/A
- 9) N/A
- 10) The Company is considering several projects in Texas, Oklahoma, Indiana, and Illinois. The Company has received tax incentives on several of these projects which are considered very favorably in the analysis of the investment. It is important to note that no final investment decisions have been made on this project. No contracts for the sale of power from the project have been executed.

Supporting Information

**Additional information
provided by the
Comptroller**



Premium

Search [] Site Web

Riding the wind: Area becoming prime location for wind farms

Story Comments

Print Font

Tweet 0 PinIt 0

Size



Valley Morning Star

Posted: Thursday, February 11, 2016 10:58 pm

By FERNANDO DEL VALLE Staff Writer

RAYMONDVILLE — Willacy County is turning into "the wind capital of South Texas."

In a meeting yesterday, EDF Energy became the third company to propose a wind farm that could pump hundreds of thousands of dollars into sparse county coffers.

"It makes you the wind capital of South Texas," developer Robert Peña told county commissioners.

The London-based company proposed building about 100 wind turbines in the Willemar area, where the

Lyford school district would reap a windfall in tax revenue.

EDF is working with Raymondville developer Joe Wetegrove on the project, leasing land from about 50 property owners across a 15,000-acre area.

Wetegrove launched the project with New York-based OwnEnergy, which merged with EDF.

"This is an overall economic benefit for the county," Peña said. "We're looking at a very long investment of several million dollars in Willacy County."

The company plans to ask the county for tax breaks, Peña said.

County Judge Aurelio Guerra said commissioners would consider the request.

"We're looking forward to negotiations of abatements," Guerra said. "We're really looking forward to working with you."

Peña said the wind farm would remain in the county for at least 30 years.

Construction could be completed in 2018, developer Matthew McCluskey said.

County officials are counting on the wind farm to boost revenues a year after the closure of the Willacy County Correctional Center slashed a third of the local \$8.1 million general fund.

YES, WE'RE OPEN Marketplace

Treasure Hills Gold & Silver Exchange
 Harlingen, TX
 956-423-7342

Find Local Businesses
 Search [] GO
 Popular Searches | Browse By Category

This Week's Circulares



EDF would become the third company to operate wind farms in Willacy County.

For seven years, wind farms have changed much of this farming county's landscape, pumping property tax dollars into rural school districts struggling over low tax bases.

In 2009, E.ON Climate & Renewables launched the county's first wind farm with 112 turbines across a 10-mile area east of I-69 and north of FM 186 between Raymondville and Port Mansfield.

In exchange, the county gave E.ON a 70-percent tax break but did not negotiate an agreement in which the company would make payments in lieu of taxes.

A year later, Duke Energy built 151 wind turbines across a 20,000-acre swath east of Sebastian.

As part of an agreement, the county gave Duke an 85-percent tax break in exchange for annual payments in lieu of taxes of \$260,000 during a 10-year-period.

Last August, E.ON presented plans to expand its second wind farm from 100 turbines to 115 turbines in an area north of FM 186 and east of I-69 near San Perlita.

To hold on to federal tax credits, the company must complete construction by 2017.

Last year, the county's previous administration entered into an agreement in which the county will give E.ON an 85-percent tax break on the \$300 million project.

In exchange, the company agreed to pay the county about \$200,000 a year in lieu of taxes during a 10-year period.

As part of the agreement, the wind farm will also pay the county \$1,125 for every megawatt it generates per year for 10 years.

Officials said the wind farm originally planned to generate 200 megawatts per year. But its expansion will produce 230 megawatts, they said.

Under the agreement, the expanded wind farm will pay the county about \$258,000 a year during a 10-year period, calculations show.

fdelvalle@valleystar.com

0
Tweet
0
Pin It
0

Discuss
Print

Posted in Premium on *Thursday, February 11, 2016 10:58 pm*

More From This Site

- **Voters aren't stupid**
- **Debate this: Local students making a name for themselves**
- **Body found in irrigation canal off of Wilson Road**
- **New club could 'rock' west side**
- **Current Cuisine: Perfect Easter Brunch Quiche**

From Around The Web

- **Babysitter Tortured Staten Island Boy Who Died, Prosecutors Say** (The New York Times)
- **13 Secrets a Funeral Director Won't Tell You** (Reader's Digest)
- **Racism Charges in Bus Incident, and Their Unravelling, Upset University at Albany** (The New York Times)
- **People in Really Heavy Credit Card Debt May Not Know This** (Bills.com)
- **The Cameraman Just Kept Recording** (Resharable.org)

HOVER FOR CIRCULAR

FOR LIFE OUT HERE

HOVER FOR CIRCULAR

Walmart

PETSMART

Powered by *flipp*

Today's Edition

RECENT OBITUARIES

View All (23) >

DR. HENRY BENAVIDES
Sign Guest Book

IGNACIA ESCALANTE



Premium

Search [] Site Web

On hold: County must be patient with wind farm expansion

Story Comments

Print Font Size

Tweet 0 PinIt 0



Valley Morning Star

Posted: Thursday, August 27, 2015 10:30 pm

By FERNANDO DEL VALLE Staff Writer

RAYMONDVILLE — Willacy County has to wait for a new wind farm to turn over dollars.

E.ON Climate & Renewables plans to expand its second wind farm.

But construction will start about a year later than expected.

Yesterday, lead developer Rich Saunders told county commissioners the company plans to expand the wind farm from 100 turbines to 115 turbines in an area north of FM 186 and east of Interstate 69E near San Perlita.

But major construction will be delayed about a year as the company awaits the approval of the Federal Aviation Administration, Saunders said.

In a workshop, Saunders told commissioners the company must complete construction by 2017 to hold on to federal tax credits.

The news came as a blow to the county that faces a monthly \$220,000 shortfall as a result of the closure of the Willacy County Correctional Center nearly six months ago.

"My goal is the same as yours. I want this project up as soon as possible," Saunders said. "You want it on the books as fast as possible. I want it on the books as fast as possible."

County Judge Aurelio Guerra said commissioners will not request the company renegotiate an agreement in which it would pay money in exchange for a tax break.

Commissioners will honor the current agreement because E.ON will not negotiate

similar pacts with other county taxing entities that include school districts, Guerra said.

"This is not just about Willacy County," Guerra said after the workshop. "It's about all the taxing entities in Willacy County."

Marketplace

yes, we're OPEN

SPI Holistic Massage Clinic
South Padre Island, TX
956-459-8481

Find Local Businesses

Search [] GO

Popular Searches | Browse By Category

This Week's Circulares

[] []

